Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology & Fisheries Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. ISSN 1110 – 6131 Vol. 28(3): 1185 – 1207 (2024) www.ejabf.journals.ekb.eg



Phylogenetic Analysis and Genetic Diversity Among Some Red Sea Reef Fishes (Perciformes: Labridae) Based on Partial 16S Mitochondrial Gene Sequences

Nadia S. Mahrous¹, Tito N. Habib², Hemely A. Hassan¹, Amal A. Abdallah¹, Amr M. A. Mohamed¹*

¹Genetics and Molecular Biology Lab., Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, South Valley University, 83523 Qena, Egypt

²Molecular Genetics Lab., Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Egypt

*Corresponding Author: amr_ali@sci.svu.edu.eg

ARTICLE INFO Article History:

Received: May 28, 2024 Accepted: June 15, 2024 Online: June 26, 2024

Keywords:

Labrids fish, Wrasses, 16S Mitochondrial gene, Molecular phylogeny, Sequence variation, Evolutionary relationships

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the sequence variation and phylogenetic relationships among 14 labrid fish species (Family: Labridae) from the coral reefs of Hurghada, the Red Sea, Egypt, using a fragment of the 16S mitochondrial gene (16S mt-rDNA). Comparison with similar species from the GenBank/NCBI published sequences was conducted. Sequencing analysis and phylogenetic tree construction employed maximum likelihood, neighbor-joining, and maximum parsimony methods. The results demonstrated the efficiency of 16S mt-rDNA in illustrating the genetic variation, indicating close genetic relations and shared ancestry among the studied genus and species, viz. Epibulus with Cheilinus and genus Gomphosus with Thalassoma. The phylogenetic hypotheses (ML, NJ and MP) produced similar topologies with slight differences in the bootstrap support values. Two main lineages, cheilines and julidines, each containing clades of genera, revealed a monophyletic group of labrid species. Distinct clades and clusters among genera highlighted evolutionary relationships within the Labridae family. The 16S gene effectively elucidates genetic diversity and phylogenetic patterns, underscoring its utility as a molecular marker for reef fish phylogenetic studies. The development of such molecular markers helps detect biodiversity and understand molecular phylogenetic relationships in this important aquatic biological resource.

INTRODUCTION

Indexed in Scopus

The Labridae family, commonly known as wrasses, stands out as one of the most widespread and conspicuous fish families on tropical reefs worldwide. Wrasses exhibit a remarkable diversity in colors, forms, and sizes, often displaying significant variations, even within a single species (**Parenti & Randall, 2011**). Representing the third largest family within the Perciformes order, Labridae comprises over 600 species distributed across 82 genera, showcasing a wide array of morphological and ecological adaptations

ELSEVIER DOA

IUCAT

in tropical and subtropical environments (Sanderson, 1990; Parenti & Randall, 2000; Tatom-Naecker & Westneat, 2018; Ghezelayagh *et al.*, 2022; Baldwin *et al.*, 2023).

Members of the Labridae family exhibit a diverse range of trophic behaviors, playing prominent roles in reef communities as herbivores, planktivores, piscivores, durophages, ectoparasite feeders, and consumers of various reef-associated invertebrates (Randall, 1983; Gomon & Randall, 1984; Lieske & Myers, 1994; Floeter *et al.*, 2007; Khalaf-Allah, 2013; AL-Zahaby, 2015; Sampaio *et al.*, 2016; Pradhan & Mahapatra, 2017). This dietary variation is mirrored in the diverse functional morphology observed within the family (Westneat, 1995; Burress & Wainwright, 2019; Evans *et al.*, 2019).

The Red Sea coasts represent one of the highest degrees of endemism and diversity among the coral reef fishes globally (Alwany & Stachowitsch, 2007). While the taxonomic knowledge of the Red Sea ichthyofauna is relatively well-developed compared to other tropical Indo-Pacific regions, research on the community structure of shore fishes remains less investigated (Tatom-Naecker & Westneat, 2018; Ghezelayagh *et al.*, 2022; Baldwin *et al.*, 2023). Within the Red Sea reefs, the Labridae family emerges as the most species-rich family after the damselfishes and ranks among the top three most abundant families in the northern Red Sea (Alwany & Stachowitsch, 2007), thus underscoring its significance in phylogenetic investigations. Previous studies have reported on the phylogenetic relationships of several subfamilies of the labrid fish (Westneat, 1993; Bellwood, 1994; Gomon, 1997; Hanel *et al.*, 2002; Streelman *et al.*, 2003; Clements *et al.*, 2004; Barber & Bellwood, 2005; Westneat & Alfaro, 2005; Phillips *et al.*, 2016).

Genetic analysis offers opportunities to enhance data accuracy and accessibility of species characteristic information. With the limitations associated with morphological investigations, genotypic studies have emerged as a valuable alternative for exploring species-level relationships (Syam & Syahputra, 2016). However, more precise, sensitive molecular identification techniques are required to elucidate the true evolutionary relationships among animal species including fish (Ramadan, 2011; Saad *et al.*, 2012). DNA barcoding techniques, in particular, provide simple and reliable approaches for species identification through standardized genomic regions, facilitating the detection of genetic variations among fish genera, species, and populations (Hebert *et al.*, 2004; Ward *et al.*, 2005; Saad & Abd El-Sadek, 2017; Saad, 2019).

Mitochondrial DNA variants serve as valuable barcoding systems for studying fish speciation and other aquatic taxa, offering considerable potential in genetic population analysis and evolution studies (Miya & Nishida, 2000; Saad *et al.*, 2019). The interest in mitochondrial DNA stems from its ability to describe the maternal inheritance, rapid evolution, and unique recombinant DNA events, making it a valuable

tool for reconstructing phylogenetic relationships among fish species (**Craig** *et al.*, 2001; **Ding** *et al.*, 2006; **Ghorashi** *et al.*, 2008; **Nematzadeh** *et al.*, 2013; **Qi** *et al.*, 2013).

Molecular phylogenetics has significantly influenced the Labrids taxonomy, providing consistent resolutions of phylogenetic relationships through multi-locus data analysis (Clements *et al.*, 2004; Westneat & Alfaro 2005; Smith *et al.*, 2008; Choat *et al.*, 2012; Aiello *et al.*, 2017; Hughes *et al.*, 2023). Utilizing the 16S mitochondrial gene as a marker, previous studies have examined phylogenetic relationships within the Labridae family, such as those conducted by Bernardi *et al.* (2004) on the genus *Thalassoma*, Westneat *et al.* (2005) across major Labrid clades, and more recent work by Baldwin *et al.* (2023) describing new species and providing phylogenetic placements.

This study aimed to investigate sequence variations and molecular phylogenetic relationships among some species of the coral reef fishes from the Labridae family inhabiting the Red Sea coasts in Egypt, utilizing partial gene sequencing of the 16S mitochondrial DNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and identification

A total of 14 specimens of the reef fish belonging to the family Labridae (*Epibulus insidiator, Cheilinus lunulatus, Cheilinus fasciatus, Cheilinus cholourus, Oxycheilinus unifasciatus, Oxycheilinus digramma, Hemigymnus fasciatus, Hemigymnus melapterus, Cheillio inermis, Thalassoma rueppellii, Gomphosus caeruleus, Coris aygula, Stethojulus bandanensis*, and Novaculichthys taeniourus) were collected from the Red Sea near Hurghada City, Egypt. Morphological identification of each fish was conducted according to **Randall (1983)**. Muscle tissues were isolated from each specimen and preserved at -80 °C until further processing.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Genomic DNA extraction from the preserved samples was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. A partial sequence of the mitochondrial 16S mt-DNA gene was amplified using the primers 16S_L (CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT) and 16S_H (CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACG) (Palumbi, 1996) (100pmol/ µl, Macrogen Inc., Seoul, Korea).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out using a thermocycler (PeQLab, Primus 25) in a total volume of 50µl, comprising 25μ L Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Germany), 1µL of each forward and reverse primer, 1µL of genomic DNA template, and 22μ L Nuclease-Free Water. The PCR amplification conditions included an initial denaturation at 94°C for 4min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1min, annealing at 56°C for 1min, and extension at 72°C for 1min, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10min.

Five microliters of each PCR product were mixed with $2\mu l$ of 5X gel loading dye (Qiagen) and loaded into a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, alongside $5\mu L$ of 100bp DNA ladder (Qiagen). Gel electrophoresis was conducted using a documentation system (MicroDoc Cleaver Scientific Ltd, United Kingdom) to confirm the presence of PCR product bands.

Sequencing analysis

The amplified DNA was purified using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Sequencing reactions were performed in an MJ Research PTC-225 Peltier Thermal Cycler using an ABI PRISM® BigDyeTM Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kits with AmpliTaq® DNA polymerase (FS enzyme) (Applied Biosystems), following the manufacturer's protocols with the same primers used for PCR amplification. All sequencing procedures were carried out by Macrogen Inc., Seoul, Korea.

Data analysis

The newly generated 16S mt-DNA sequences were submitted to the GeneBank, National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (Table 1). These sequences were aligned with previously published sequences of the most similar Labridae taxa obtained from a Blast search on the GenBank NCBI database (Table 1). Alignments were performed using the Clustal W tool, and conserved region analysis was conducted using BioEdit software version 7.2.5 (Hall, 1999), as illustrated in Fig. (1).

The resulting alignments were manually refined, and sequences from the studied gene were trimmed to the size of the smallest fragment to minimize the amount of introduced missing data. Pairwise distances were calculated using MEGA X software version 10.2.2 (**Kumar** *et al.*, **2018**), as shown in Table (2).

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using three different methods implemented in MEGA X software version 10.2.2 (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). Methods applied were: Neighbor-joining (NJ) (Saitou & Nei, 1987), maximum likelihood (ML) (Tamura & Nei, 1993), and maximum parsimony (MP) (Nei & Kumar, 2000). Moreover, thebranch relative support was assessed using the bootstrap test with 1000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985), all methods are depicted in Figs. (2, 3). Sequence divergences were calculated using Kimura's two-parameter distances (Kimura, 1980), and the majority-rule consensus tree from the parsimony analysis was presented.

No.	Species	Accession number	Reference
1	Epibulus insidiator	MW332305	Present study
2	Epibulus insidiator	JF457451	GeneBank
3	Epibulus brevis	KY815393	GeneBank
4	Hemigymnus fasciatus	MW332308	Present study
5	Hemigymnus melapterus	MW332309	Present study
6	Hemigymnus fasciatus	JF457499	GeneBank
7	Hemigymnus melapterus	DQ076711	GeneBank
8	Cheilio inermis	MW332310	Present study
9	Cheilio inermis	JF457361	GeneBank
10	Thalassoma rueppellii	MW332311	Present study
11	Thalassoma genivittatum	JF457670	GeneBank
12	Thalassoma lutescens	KY815461	GeneBank
13	Cheilinus lunulatus	MW332313	Present study
14	Cheilinus fasciatus	MW332314	Present study
15	Cheilinus chlorourus	MW332318	Present study
16	Cheilinus fasciatus	JF457349	GeneBank
17	Cheilinus trilobatus	JF457358	GeneBank
18	Cheilinus abudjubbe	KY815371	GeneBank
19	Cheilinus lunulatus	KY815373	GeneBank
20	Coris aygula	MW332315	Present study
21	Coris aygula	AY279692	GeneBank
22	Oxycheilinus digramma	MW332317	Present study
23	Oxycheilinus unifasciatus	MW332323	Present study
24	Oxycheilinus digramma	JF457549	GeneBank
25	Oxycheilinus unifasciatus	JF457554.1	GeneBank
26	Novaculichthys taeniourus	MW332322	Present study
27	Novaculichthys taeniourus	JF457546	GeneBank
28	Gomphosus caeruleus	MW332312	Present study
29	Gomphosus varius	AY279700	GeneBank
30	Gomphosus caeruleus	KY815396	GeneBank
31	Stethojulis bandanensis	MW332321	Present study
32	Epinephelus polyphekadion	AY947569	GeneBank

Table 1. The 14 studied labrid fish species of the current study and 17 species from the most similar published sequences of family Labridae taxa with their (GeneBank/ NCBI) submitted accession numbers. *Epinephelus polyphekadion* is used as an outgroup species.

RESULTS

The sequence data obtained from the partial 16S mitochondrial gene with accession numbers (Table 1) exhibited remarkable resolution among genera and species within the Labridae family. The trimmed sequences had a length of 526bp, containing 162 polymorphic sites and 126 parsimony informative sites, with 14 gap sites excluded. Analysis using the BioEdit program revealed the presence of six conserved regions, each with varying segment lengths (Fig. 1). Pairwise distance analysis (Table 2) highlighted notable differences between species. The highest pairwise distances were observed between the sequences of *Gomphosus varius* (AY279700) with *Oxycheilinus unifasciatus* (MW332323) (0.198), and with both species *Cheilinus chlorourus* (MW332318) and *Cheilinus abudjubbe* (KY815371) (0.197). Conversely, different sequences exhibited no pairwise distance (0.000), indicating a genetic similarity between these species.

In this study, multiple methods of phylogenetic analysis produced similar topologies of relationships among the species, with some differences in the support values (Figs. 2, 3). Such phylogenetic analyses are particularly useful in species-rich genera like the labrid fishes, which have few distinctive morphological characteristics.

The different constructed phylogenetic trees of the present study illustrated various major clades and clusters. The first major group includes the cheilines lineage genera, with distinct clusters for each species. For instance, *Epibulus insidiator* clustered with high support values (NJ = 100, ML = 97, MP = 98) and exhibited similarity to *Cheilinus fasciatus* (NJ = 78, ML = 70, MP = 74). Meanwhile, *Oxycheilinus* species formed a separate clade, indicating their evolutionary divergence from other cheilines. The species *Stethojulis bandanensis* (MW332321) of the current study is represented in a separated clade in the different constructed trees methods.

Another major group consisted of julidines lineage genera, with distinct clusters for each species. For example, the *Hemigymnus* species formed a distinct clade, as did *Gomphosus* and the *Thalassoma* species. Among julidines lineage genera the genus *Coris* form a paraphyletic clade with the two genus of the current study *Coris aygula* (MW332315) and *Novaculichthys taeniourus* (MW332322) which was clustered together with high support values (NJ, ML =100, MP =96), meanwhile *Novaculichthys taeniourus* (JF457546) was clustered to *Cheilio inermis* (MW332310.1) and *Cheilio inermis* (JF457361) with support values (NJ =81,ML=80, MP =56).

Table 2. Pairwise distance of the partial 16S mitochondrial rDNA nucleotide sequences of the labrids fish species of the current study and GenBank/NCBI similar sequences.

No.	Acc. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
1	MW332305																															
2	JF457451	0.000																														
3	KY815393	0.016	0.016																ļ													
4	MW332308	0.160	0.160	0.157																												
5	MW332309	0.157	0.157	0.160	0.019						0 C		· · · · ·						÷		·	-		1			6					
6	JF457499	0.165	0.165	0.162	0.016	0.019																										
7	DQ076711	0.157	0.157	0.160	0.019	0.000	0.019																									
8	MW332310	0.172	0.172	0.174	0.126	0.121	0.131	0.121																								
9	JF457361	0.172	0.172	0.174	0.128	0.123	0.133	0.123	0.002																							
10	MW332311	0.164	0.164	0.166	0.081	0.074	0.076	0.074	0.140	0.142																			~			
11	JF457670	0.166	0.166	0.169	0.083	0.076	0.078	0.076	0.140	0.142	0.004								ļ													
12	KY815461	0.166	0.166	0.169	0.083	0.076	0.078	0.076	0.140	0.142	0.004	0.000																				
13	MW332313	0.068	0.068	0.076	0.176	0.170	0.180	0.170	0.178	0.178	0.181	0.184	0.184				1		5 - C		÷						5 6					
14	MW332314	0.040	0.040	0.044	0.149	0.149	0.156	0.149	0.161	0.161	0.155	0.158	0.158	0.070																		
15	MW332318	0.070	0.070	0.074	0.173	0.168	0.178	0.168	0.178	0.178	0.182	0.184	0.184	0.027	0.081																	
16	JF457349	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.146	0.151	0.153	0.151	0.161	0.161	0.158	0.160	0.160	0.074	0.004	0.085			[]													
17	JF457358	0.068	0.068	0.076	0.176	0.170	0.180	0.170	0.178	0.178	0.181	0.184	0.184	0.000	0.070	0.027	0.074															
18	KY815371	0.070	0.070	0.074	0.173	0.168	0.178	0.168	0.178	0.178	0.182	0.184	0.184	0.027	0.081	0.000	0.085	0.027														
19	KY815373	0.068	0.068	0.076	0.176	0.170	0.180	0.170	0.178	0.178	0.181	0.184	0.184	0.000	0.070	0.027	0.074	0.000	0.027													
20	MW332315	0.169	0.169	0.173	0.073	0.069	0.078	0.069	0.131	0.134	0.074	0.076	0.076	0.183	0.152	0.181	0.155	0.183	0.181	0.183												
21	AY279692	0.169	0.169	0.173	0.073	0.069	0.078	0.069	0.131	0.134	0.074	0.076	0.076	0.183	0.152	0.181	0.155	0.183	0.181	0.183	0.000						5 6			2		
22	MW332317	0.092	0.092	0.083	0.160	0.165	0.170	0.165	0.172	0.172	0.179	0.181	0.181	0.094	0.095	0.087	0.090	0.094	0.087	0.094	0.185	0.185							8			
23	MW332323	0.128	0.128	0.127	0.170	0.181	0.178	0.181	0.207	0.207	0.187	0.189	0.189	0.122	0.124	0.134	0.119	0.122	0.134	0.122	0.196	0.196	0.083									
24	JF457549	0.092	0.092	0.083	0.160	0.165	0.170	0.165	0.172	0.172	0.179	0.181	0.181	0.094	0.095	0.087	0.090	0.094	0.087	0.094	0.185	0.185	0.000	0.083								
25	JF457554	0.100	0.100	0.087	0.160	0.167	0.170	0.167	0.182	0.182	0.187	0.189	0.189	0.090	0.097	0.100	0.092	0.090	0.100	0.090	0.190	0.190	0.022	0.083	0.022							
26	MW332322	0.174	0.174	0.178	0.077	0.073	0.082	0.073	0.136	0.138	0.078	0.080	0.080	0.188	0.157	0.186	0.160	0.188	0.186	0.188	0.004	0.004	0.190	0.196	0.190	0.195						
27	JF457546	0.172	0.172	0.177	0.143	0.148	0.153	0.148	0.126	0.126	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.178	0.175	0.178	0.175	0.178	0.178	0.178	0.148	0.148	0.181	0.212	0.181	0.184	0.144	•				
28	MW332312	0.174	0.174	0.176	0.083	0.080	0.081	0.080	0.139	0.142	0.018	0.022	0.022	0.192	0.163	0.192	0.165	0.192	0.192	0.192	0.067	0.067	0.178	0.193	0.178	0.186	0.071	0.157				
29	AY279700	0.177	0.177	0.179	0.085	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.142	0.144	0.022	0.026	0.026	0.192	0.165	0.197	0.168	0.192	0.197	0.192	0.071	0.071	0.180	0.198	0.180	0.189	0.076	0.157	0.004			
30	KY815396	0.174	0.174	0.176	0.083	0.080	0.081	0.080	0.139	0.142	0.018	0.022	0.022	0.192	0.163	0.192	0.165	0.192	0.192	0.192	0.067	0.067	0.178	0.193	0.178	0.186	0.071	0.157	0.000	0.004		
31	MW332321	0.163	0.163	0.163	0.167	0.172	0.182	0.172	0.165	0.168	0.176	0.178	0.178	0.176	0.153	0.168	0.150	0.176	0.168	0.176	0.179	0.179	0.165	0.193	0.165	0.178	0.179	0.182	0.181	0.183	0.181	

	10	20	30	40	50	60 	70	80	50
MW332305 Epibulus insidiator	TTTAACGGCC	GCGGTATTTT	GACCGTGCGA	AGGTAGCGCA	ATCACTTGTC	TTTTAAATGG	AGACCCGTAT	GAATGGCACG	ACGAGGGCTT
MW332314 Cherrinus tasciatus MW332317 Oxycheilinus digramma	a	Α					т		
MW332321 Stethojulis bandanens		c.c.				. C	T	TA	A
MW332318 Cheilinus chlorourus	• • • • • • • • • • •	c	•••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
MW332313 Cherrinus lunulatus MW332323 Oxycheilinus unifasci		A	т.			G	т		
MW332310 Cheilio inermis			A.				T	TA	
MW332308 Hemigymnus fasciatus		•••••	A.	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · T · · · ·	TC	•••••
MW332322 Novaculichthys taenio	/s		A .						
MW332315 Coris aygula			. .				T	TC	
MW332312 Gomphosus caeruleus	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	A.	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · T · · · ·	TC	
AY279692 Coris avgula			A .						
KY815461 Thalassoma lutescens			. .				T	TC	
KY815396 Gomphosus caeruleus	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	· · · · · · · · A ·	•••••	•••••		T	TC	
KY815373 Cheilinus lunulatus		C							
KY815371 Cheilinus abudjubbe							· · · · · <u>·</u> · · · ·		
JF457670 Thalassoma genivittat	•••••		· · · · · · · · · A ·			•••••	· · · · · · T · · · ·	TC	
JF457549 Oxycheilinus digramma		A					T		
JF457546 Novaculichthys taenio	• • • • • • • • • •	C.	A.					TA	
JF457499 Hemigymnus fasciatus IF457451 Enibulus insidiator	•••••		· · · · · · · · · A ·			• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · T · · · ·	TC	
JF457361 Cheilio inermis			A .				т	.	
JF457358 Cheilinus trilobatus		C					· · · · · <u>·</u> · · · ·		
JF457349 Cherrinus fasciatus DQ076711 Hemigympus melanterus	• • • • • • • • • • •		Δ				·····	т.	
AY279700 Gomphosus varius			A .				T	тс	
AY947569 Epinephelus polypheka		C.					G T	TA	
	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180
MW332305 Epibulus insidiator	AACTGTCTCC	TCTTTTAAGT	CAATGAAATT	GATCTCCCG	TGCAGAAGCG	GAGATACCCC	CATAAGACGA	GAAGACCCTA	TGGAGCTTTA
MW332317 Oxycheilinus digramma	9	C.G.				. G G. ATA			
MW332321 Stethojulis bandanens	. G	. T C		c		. G A . A			
MW332318 Cheilinus chlorourus	• • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · Ţ · · ·	•••••		•••••	CAA.	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	
MW332313 Cherrinus lunulatus MW332323 Oxycheilinus unifasci									
MW332310 Cheilio inermis	G	.тсс		TC		. G G A . T A			
MW332308 Hemigymnus fasciatus	• • • • • • • • • •	.T.CG.C	•••••		••••	.GATAA		• • • • • • • • • • •	
MW332322 Novaculichthys taenio	/s	.T.CC				.GATAA			
MW332315 Coris aygula		.т.сс		C		. G AT A A			
MW332312 Gomphosus caeruleus	• • • • • • • • • •	.T.C.CAC	G	· · · · · · · · C · · ·	••••	. G AAAA	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	
AY279692 Coris avgula						.GATAA			
KY815461 Thalassoma lutescens		.T.C.CC	G	c		. G AAA .			
KY815396 Gomphosus caeruleus	• • • • • • • • • •	. T . C . C AC	G	C	•••••	. G AAAA	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
KY815393 EpiDulus Drevis KY815373 Cheilinus lunulatus		т				C GAG.			
KY815371 Cheilinus abudjubbe		T				CAA.			
JF457670 Thalassoma genivittat	• • • • • • • • • • •	.T.C.CC	G	· · · · · · · · C · · ·	•••••	.GAAA.	•••••	•••••	
JF457549 Oxycheilinus digramma		C.G				.GG.ATA			
JF457546 Novaculichthys taenio		. T CT		c		. G GTT . A			
JF457499 Hemigymnus fasciatus	• • • • • • • • • • •	. T . C G . C	•••••	•••••	•••••	. G AT AT	•••••	•••••	
JF457361 Cheilio inermis	G	.TCC		TC		. G GA . TA			
JF457358 Cheilinus trilobatus		T				CGAG.			
JF457349 Cheilinus fasciatus		т.с.с.с	•••••	· · · · C · · · ·	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
AY279700 Gomphosus varius	••••••	.T.C.CAC	G	C		. G AAGA			
AY947569 Epinephelus polypheka		C		C		. G A G . A			
	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260	270
MW332305 Epibulus insidiator	GACACCAAGG	CAGATCA - TG	TAAATACCCC	CAAAAC - AAC	GGATTAAACA	CGATGCTACC	- T GCCCT ACT	GTCTTAGGTT	GGGGCGACCG
MW332314 Cherrinus tasciatus MW332317 Oxycheilinus digrammi	a		С.Т.Т		ACCCG	GA TT. T.	T		A
MW332321 Stethojulis bandanens	. G . GTT A .	C	. T G . AAA .	. C G	сст	TAACTA.	A	. C T	A
MW332318 Cheilinus chlorourus		c.	T		. AG. CG	. A TC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · C · · · ·	
MW332313 Cherrinus lunuratus MW332323 Oxycheilinus unifasci	A .		. T C A . T	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT		A	T.		A
MW332310 Cheilio inermis	A	CCA	CTC.G.A.G.	. C A . GG	AATAAC	AAGACC	TGTTA.	T	C
MW332308 Hemigymnus fasciatus	A	T.TC.	.TACTT	.TGC.TA.G	. ACCA T	AAAAGT	TA .	· · · · · · T · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MW332322 Novaculichthys taenio		CCTC.	. C G . AA	TCCT. A.GA		A.G.AAAC		c	
MW332315 Coris aygula	T A	CCTC.	. C G . A A	TCCTA.GA	C . G T	A.G.AAAC	TA .	C	C
MW332312 Gomphosus caeruleus	A	C.TC.	. C G. A	TC.T.A.A	. AT . G T	ACC.AAATT.	CTA.	· · · · · · C · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AY279692 Coris aygula		CCTC.	. C G. AA	TCCT. A.GA		A.G.AAAC		C	C
KY815461 Thalassoma lutescens	A	C.TC.	. C G . A	GT . T A A	. AT . G T	ACAAGTA.	TA.	C	C
KY815396 Gomphosus caeruleus	A	C.TC.	. C G . A	TC.T.A.A	. AT . G T	ACC.AAATT.	CTA.	c	C
KY815373 Cheilinus lunulatus	A .	c.	c		GCCG	.ATC	T	C	
KY815371 Cheilinus abudjubbe	TA.	cc.	T		. AG. CG	. A T C		c	
JF457670 Thalassoma genivittat	A	C.TC.	. C G . A	GT . T A A	. AT . G T	AC. AAGTA.	A .	· · · · · c · · · ·	c
JF457549 Oxycheilinus diaramma	A.		T	. G I T	ACCCG	GA. TT.T.			A
JF457546 Novaculichthys taenio	A.G.A	СТ	.TCCA	. CTT A. GG	. ATAAT	AAA.CC	TGCT GA.	T	Ť
JF457499 Hemigymnus fasciatus	A	T.TC.	. C ATTT	. T G C . T A G	AATCGT	AAAAGT	TA.	• • • • • • • • • • •	C
JF457361 Cheilio inermis		CCA	CTC.G.A.G		AATAAC	AAG GCC	TGTTA		
JF457358 Cheilinus trilobatus	A.	CC.	C	TT	. A G G	. A T C	T.	C	
JF457349 Cheilinus fasciatus	· · · · · · · · · A .	G	.T		GCC	A	T.	· · · · · C · · · ·	A
AY279700 Gomphosus varius	A	C.TC.	. C GGA	TC.T.A.A	AAC.G	ACC. AAATT.	CTA.	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · C
AY947569 Epinephelus polypheka	T	T . A ACA	ccc	. T G A T . G	CACG	AACA.C	A A .	T	

Fig. 1. Multiple sequence alignment of the partial 16S mitochondrial rDNA nucleotide sequences of the labrids fish species of the current study and GenBank/NCBI similar sequences after trimming the ends, a dot indicates identity with the top sequence. The alignment is from 1- 270 bp.

	280	250	300	310	320	330	340	350	360
MW332305 Enibulue ineidiator		1				AAGAGCGCCA	CCTCTAAGGA		TGACCTA
MW332314 Cheilinus fasciatus			.T	A				TAC	
MW332317 Oxycheilinus digramma		_T	.T.CC.	A.TCTC	G		C.		T
MW332321 Stethojulis bandanens MW332318 Chailinus chlorourus	· · · A · · · · A ·	T.A	GTA	GGT.ACCC	ст	CT.A	ACA.	AC	A.T
MW332313 Cheilinus lunulatus		.ст	C.			C	т.	cc	T
MW332323 Oxycheilinus unifasci	AT	TTT	GCC.	A . T CC .	G . T			· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TC
MW332310 Cherris MW332308 Hemigymnus fasciatus	G.T.	A.G	.T	. AAAT TA.	. T A G		CA.	GA.AC	A.TCT
MW332309 Hemigymnus melapteru	/sG.T.	A . G	. T C .	.AAATTA.	. T A	CA.C	A .	GAC	A.TCT
MW332322 Novaculichthys taenio	G.T.	A.G.G	GT C	AAAAT TA.	. TT . A	TA.C	TG	GAC	ATT
MW332315 Coris aygula MW332312 Gomphosus caeruleus	TG.T.	A.G	.T.AC	AAAAI TA.	CT. A. G.T		A.	GAC	
MW332311 Thalassoma rueppellii	Τ	A . G	. T . A C	. AGAG TA.	СТАТ		A .	G A C	GC
AY279692 Coris aygula	G.T.	A.G	.TC	AAAAT. TA.	. TT . A	TA.C	TG	GAC	ATT
KY815396 Gomphosus caeruleus	TG.T.	A.G	.T.AC	. AGAG TA.	CT A G . T		A .	GAC	GC
KY815393 Epibulus brevis			. T						
KY815373 Cheilinus lunulatus	• • • • • • • • • • •	. CT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cc	· · · · · · · T · · · ·
JF457670 Thalassoma genivittat	Τ	A . G	. T . A C	. AGAG TA.	СТАТ		A .	GAC	GC
JF457554 Oxycheilinus unifasci	T	.TT	.T.CC.	ACCT.	G . G		C.		<u>T</u>
JF457549 Oxycheilinus digramma		.T	.T.CC.	AGG TGC	G	с л т	····C.	ТАСТ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
JF457499 Hemigymnus fasciatus	G.T.	A.G	.TG	AAATTA.	. T A G	CA.C	A .	GAC	A.ACT
JF457451 Epibulus insidiator	<u>.</u>		·····	*********			2		
JF45/367 Gnellio Inermis JF457358 Cheilinus trilobatue		A.G	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. AAAITC.		та.с	СА. т		A.CTT
JF457349 Cheilinus fasciatus			. Т	A				TAC	
DQ076711 Hemigymnus melapterus	8G.T.	A.G	.TC.	. AAAT TA.	. T A	CA.C	· · · · · · · · A ·	GAC	A.TCT
AY279700 Gomphosus varius AY947569 Epinephelus polypheka	IG.I.	A.G	G. AA CC .	AGAG IA.	CIAG.I	T.A.		GAC	GC
	370	380	390	400	410	420	430	440	450
MW332305 Epibulus insidiator	AGATCCGGCT	TTGGCCGATC	AACGGACCAA	GTTACCCTAG	GGATAACAGC	GCAATCCCCT	TTTAGAGTCC	ATATCGACAA	GGGGGTTTAC
MW332314 Cheilinus fasciatus		A - A							
MW332317 Oxycheilinus digramma MW332321 Stothojulis bandanons	a C	A - AC		•••••	•••••	TT		•••••	•••••
MW332318 Cheilinus chlorourus		A					. C	c	
MW332313 Cheilinus lunulatus		A - A						C	
MW332323 Oxycheilinus unifasci	.TAC	A - AC		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · TT · · ·			•••••
MW332308 Hemigymnus fasciatus	A	AA	G .				. C	c	
MW332309 Hemigymnus melapteru	/s A	AA	G.				. c	c	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
MW332322 Novaculichthys taenio MW332315 Coris avgula	GA	AA	G.		• • • • • • • • • • • •			C	
MW332312 Gomphosus caeruleus	A	A	G .				. c c	C	
MW332311 Thalassoma rueppellii	A	A	G.				.cc	T	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
AY279692 Coris aygula KY815461 Thalassoma lutescens	GA	AA	G.					С	
KY815396 Gomphosus caeruleus	A	A	G .				. C C	c	
KY815393 Epibulus brevis		A		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • •				· · · · · · · · · · · ·
KY815373 Cheilinus lunulatus KY815371 Cheilinus abudiubbe		A - A					C		
JF457670 Thalassoma genivittat	A	GC	G .				. c c	т	
JF457554 Oxycheilinus unifasci	C	A - AC		• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · TT · · ·	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •
JF457546 Novaculichthys taenio	A	AC	G .						
JF457499 Hemigymnus fasciatus	. A	AA	G .				. C	C	
JF457451 Epibulus insidiator			• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••
JF457358 Cheilinus trilobatus		A - A						c	
JF457349 Cheilinus fasciatus	c	A - A		· · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · ·
DQ076711 Hemigymnus melapterus	sA	AA	G.		•••••			C	
AY947569 Epinephelus polypheka	A	ACT	T . A G .			T	C	G.	
	460	470	480	490	500	510	520		
MW332305 Epibulus insidiator	GACCTCGATG	TT GGAT CAGG	ACATCCTAAT	GGTGCAGCCG	CTATTAAGGG	TTCGTTTGTT	CAACGATTAA	AGTCCT	
MW332314 Cheilinus fasciatus MW332317 Oxycheilinus digramm									
MW332321 Stethojulis bandanens			т.						
MW332318 Cheilinus chlorourus							•••••		
MW332313 Cherrinus Iunuratus MW332323 Oxycheilinus unifasci		Δ.							
MW332310 Cheilio inermis			с.						
MW332308 Hemigymnus fasciatus				· · · · · · · · · · · ·			• • • • • • • • • • •	*****	
MW332309 Hemigymnus melapteru MW332322 Novaculichthys taenio	/s								
MW332315 Coris aygula									
MW332312 Gomphosus caeruleus	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • •		
AY279692 Coris avgula									
KY815461 Thalassoma lutescens									
KY815396 Gomphosus caeruleus	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
KY815373 Cheilinus lunulatus									
KY815371 Cheilinus abudjubbe									
JF457670 Thalassoma genivittat	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	
JF457549 Oxycheilinus digramma									
JF457546 Novaculichthys taenio									
JF457499 Hemigymnus fasciatus	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
JF457361 Cheilio inermis			c.						
JF457358 Cheilinus trilobatus									
JF457349 Cheilinus fasciatus		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
AY279700 Gomphosus varius									
AY947569 Epinephelus polypheka									

Fig. 1. Multiple sequence alignment of the partial 16S mitochondrial rDNA nucleotide sequences of the labrids fish species of the current study and GenBank/NCBI similar sequences after trimming the ends, a dot indicates identity with the top sequence. Alignment is from 271- 526 bp.



0.020

Fig. 2. Neighbor-joining (NJ) and Maximum likelihood (ML) trees constructed using the 16S mitochondrial rDNA fragment sequences of the family Labridae species, sequences of this study labrids fishes are labeled with the symbol \bigcirc , the most identical published GenBank/NCBI sequences from Blast search of labrids species marked with symbol \blacksquare , the sequences marked with the symbol \bigcirc rooted the tree as an out-group. The numbers above the tree branches indicate the bootstrap confidence values of the (ML) and (NJ) hypotheses, and branch lengths are proportional to genetic distance.



5

Fig. 3. Maximum parsimony (MP) tree constructed using the 16S mitochondrial rDNA fragment sequences of the family Labridae species, sequences of this study labrids fishes are labeled with the symbol • the most identical published GenBank/NCBI sequences from Blast search of labrids species marked with the symbol • , rooted the tree as an out-group. The numbers above the tree branches indicate the bootstrap confidence value and branch lengths are proportional to genetic distance.

DISCUSSION

It is important to note that marker selection is a complex decision influenced by multiple factors, and no single marker is universally applicable. Researchers determine which molecular markers to use in their phylogenetic analyses based on several factors, including the specific research question, the evolutionary timescale of interest, the taxonomic group under study, and the characteristics of the markers themselves (Kocher *et al.*, 1989; Baker & DeSalle, 1997; Slowinski & Page, 1999; Wiens, 2004; Avise, 2009; Toonen & Grosberg, 2011; Taberlet *et al.*, 2012; McCormack *et al.*, 2013; Edwards *et al.*, 2016; Lemmon *et al.*, 2019).

Sequencing of the 16S mitochondrial rDNA gene is a common choice for phylogenetic analyses due to several key considerations. Researchers often opt for this marker based on its evolutionary rate and variation, which are suitable for the taxonomic group and timescale of interest. The 16S rDNA gene typically evolves at a moderate pace, making it appropriate for resolving both deep and recent phylogenetic relationships within a taxonomic group (**Baker & DeSalle, 1997; Toonen & Grosberg, 2011**).

In terms of informativeness and phylogenetic signal, the 16S rDNA gene possesses sufficient variability to resolve relationships of interest. Researchers assess its utility by evaluating its variability across taxa and its ability to capture informative genetic changes, such as substitutions within the gene (Slowinski & Page, 1999; Wiens, 2004). Another advantage of using mitochondrial 16S rDNA sequencing is its widespread availability and practicality. Well-established protocols, existing reference databases, and primers for marker amplification facilitate its use in phylogenetic studies. Researchers often benefit from the wealth of resources accumulated from previous studies utilizing this marker (Kocher *et al.*, 1989; Taberlet *et al.*, 2012).

When considering marker selection, researchers also assess the coherence and compatibility of mitochondrial 16S rDNA with other markers, especially when combining multiple markers. Compatibility ensures consistency in inheritance patterns and evolutionary dynamics, minimizing the potential for conflicting results (Edwards *et al.*, 2016; Lemmon *et al.*, 2019). Comparative analyses further support the use of mitochondrial 16S rDNA by highlighting its performance in resolving evolutionary relationships within a taxonomic group. Studies on closely related taxa or within the same taxonomic group provide valuable insights into the marker's suitability and efficacy (Avise, 2009; McCormack *et al.*, 2013).

The results of this study underscore the effectiveness of partial 16S mitochondrial gene sequences in elucidating phylogenetic relationships and genetic diversity among the Labridae species. These findings align with previous molecular studies, which have also demonstrated the utility of 16S rDNA as a barcoding system for revealing genetic variation among fish species and validating its suitability for resolving genetic

relationships within this fish family (Craig et al., 2001; Pondella et al., 2003; Mitani et al., 2009; Quraishia et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2015; Saad, 2019; Wang, et al., 2023; Baldwin et al., 2023).

The phylogenetic analyses conducted in this study yielded consistent topologies among species, albeit with some variations in support values. Such analyses are particularly valuable in species-rich genera like the labrid fish, where few distinctive morphological characteristics exist (**Bernardi** *et al.*, 2004; **Rocha**, 2004; **Barber & Bellwood**, 2005; **Rocha** *et al.*, 2005; **Westneat &** Alfaro, 2005). Establishing robust hypotheses for phylogenetic relationships within and among major groups of coastal marine and coral reef fish enhances our understanding of their evolutionary biology (**Westneat** *et al.*, 2005).

The constructed phylogenetic trees revealed distinct clades and clusters, highlighting evolutionary relationships among genera. For example, the cheilines lineage exhibited a clade comprising *Epibulus insidiator* and *Cheilinus fasciatus*, with strong support values. Meanwhile, the *Oxycheilinus* species formed a separate clade, indicating their evolutionary divergence. These results corroborate the results of **Westneat** *et al.* (1995) and the previous chromosomal study of **Almeida** *et al.* (2017), supporting the hypothesis of a monophyletic group comprising *Cheilinus* and *Epibulus*. Regarding to the separated clade of *Oxycheilinus*, **Westneat** *et al.* (1993) in a phylogenetic analysis of the Cheilini group according to morphological characters supported the hypothesis that *Cheilinus* form a monophyletic group with *Epibulus* outside that group.

In contrast, the julidines lineage genera formed distinct clusters, with notable phylogenetic relationships observed among species such as the clustering of *Gomphosus* with *Thalassoma* genus was supported by previous molecular studies, further emphasizing the importance of molecular markers in resolving phylogenetic relationships (Westneat & Alfaro, 2005; Aiello *et al.*, 2017; Hughes *et al.*, 2023). The monophyly of the genus *Thalassoma* agrees with the previous results of the mitochondrial DNA study of Mikami and Machida (1999), and the results of the phylogenetic relationships obtained by the study of Bernardi *et al.* (2004).

The clustring of the genus *Stethojulis bandanensis* in a separated clade with different hypothesis, agreed with the results of the study of **Westneat** *et al.* (2005), who concluded that the species *Stethojulis bandanensis* show no close relation with the other labrids in his study. In our results, the clade for the *Stethojulis bandanensis* exhibits no similar relation to the genus *Hemigymnus* clade, meanwhile molecular phylogenetic studies based on partial mitochondrial sequences and nuclear genes by **Westneat and Alfaro (2005)** and **Yi** *et al.* (2019) revealed that *Hemigymnus melapterus* has a close evolutionary relationship with the *Stethojulis strigiventer*, and they are grouped together.

In the current study results, the paraphyly of the clade of the genus *Coris* with the species *Novaculichthys taeniourus* nested within is supported with the result of the study

of Westneat *et al.* (2005), who reported that several genera in the Labridae are paraphyly and the positions of *Cheilio inermis* as monotypic genera are uncertain in most analyses as *Cheilio* placed as the sister-species to the razorfish including the *Novaculichthys* genus (*Cheilio inermis* nested as a sister species to *Novaculichthys taeniourus* with strong parsimony support). In addition, molecular studies indicated that the monophyly of some julidines genera including the genus *Coris* is challenged (Westneat & Alfaro, 2005; Aiello *et. al.*, 2017).

The present study contributes to our understanding of the Labridae species' genetic relationships and evolutionary history. Using the 16S mitochondrial rDNA gene as an informative marker facilitates the elucidation of genetic diversity among reef fishes, offering insights into their shared ancestry and evolutionary dynamics. However, further research incorporating complete mitogenome information and additional molecular markers is necessary to fully uncover the true phylogeny of the Labridae family (Saad, 2019; Yi et al., 2019).

CONCLUSION

The results of the discussed manuscript emphasize the effectiveness of partial 16S mitochondrial gene sequences in elucidating phylogenetic relationships and genetic diversity among the Labridae species. The phylogenetic analyses conducted in the study yielded consistent topologies among species and provided valuable insights into the evolutionary relationships within the Labridae. Distinct clades and clusters were observed, highlighting evolutionary relationships among genera. The findings corroborate previous studies and support hypotheses regarding the monophyletic nature of certain groups within the Labridae. While this study contributes to our understanding of the genetic relationships and evolutionary history of the Labridae species, further research incorporating complete mitogenome information and additional molecular markers is necessary for a comprehensive understanding of the true phylogeny of the Labridae family. In summary, this work demonstrates the utility of the 16S mitochondrial rDNA gene as an informative marker for studying genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships among the reef fish that is important to conserve these valuable biological resources. The findings underscore the importance of molecular markers in elucidating shared ancestry and evolutionary dynamics and pave the way for future research in this field.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Genetics and Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt, for the cooperation and support.

Ethics approval

The experimental protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Science, South Valley University (REC-FSCI-SVU, Approval Number: 004/05/24).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Aiello, B. R.; Westneat, M. W. and Hale, M. E. (2017). Mechanosensation is evolutionarily tuned to locomotor mechanics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(17):4459-4464. <u>http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616822114</u>

Almeida, L. A.; Nunes, L. A.; Bitencourt, J. A.; Molina, W. F. and Affonso, P. R. (2017). Chromosomal evolution and cytotaxonomy in wrasses (Perciformes; Labridae). *Journal of Heredity*, 108(3) :239-253. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esx002</u>

Alwany, M. A. and Stachowitsch, M. (2007). Distribution and diversity of six common reef fish families along the Egyptian coast of the Red Sea. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 2(1) :1-16. <u>http://doi.org/10.3923/jfas.2007.1.16</u>

Al-Zahaby, M.A. (2015). Biological studies on the reproductive cycle of broomtail wrasse, *Cheilinus lunulatus* inhabiting coral reef in the Red Sea, M.Sc. Thesis, Zool. Dep., Fac. Sci., Al–Azhar University Cairo., 207.

Avise, J. C. (2009). Phylogeography: retrospect and prospect. *Journal of Biogeography*, 36(1):3-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02032.x</u>

Baker, A. J. and DeSalle, R. (1997). Multiple sources of character information and the phylogeny of Hawaiian drosophilids. *Systematic Biology*, 46(4) :654-673. https://doi.org/10.1093/ sysbio/46.4.654

Baldwin, C. C.; Arcila, D.; Robertson, D. R. and Tornabene, L. (2023). Description of the First Species of *Polylepion* (Teleostei: Labridae) from the Atlantic Ocean with Analysis of Evolutionary Relationships of the New Species. *Ichthyology & Herpetology*, 111(2):182-190. <u>http://doi.org/10.1643/CI-22-038</u>

Barber, P. H. and Bellwood, D. R. (2005). Biodiversity hotspots: evolutionary origins of biodiversity in wrasses (*Halichoeres*: Labridae) in the Indo-Pacific and new world tropics. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 35 :235–253. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev. 2004.12.022

Bellwood, D. R. (1994). A phylogenetic study of the parrotfish family Scaridae (Pisces: Labroidei), with a revision of genera. *Records of the Australian Museum*, 20(Suppl.). http://doi.org/10.3853/j.0812-7387.20.1994.11

Bellwood, D. R.; Wainwright, P. C.; Fulton, C. J. and Hoey, A. S. (2006). Functional versatility supports coral reef biodiversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 273 :101–107. <u>http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3262</u>

Bernardi, G.; Bucciarelli, G.; Costagliola, D.; Robertson, D. R. and Heiser, J. B. (2004). Evolution of the coral reef fish *Thalassoma spp.* (Labridae). 1. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography. *Marine Biology*, 144 :369-375. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1198-4

Burress, E. D. and Wainwright, P. C. (2019). Adaptive radiation in labrid fishes: A central role for functional innovations during 65 My of relentless diversification. *Evolution*, 73. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13823</u>

Choat, J. H.; Klanten, O. S.; Van Herwerden, L.; Robertson, D. R. and Clements, K. D. (2012). Patterns and processes in the evolutionary history of parrotfishes (Family Labridae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 107(3) :529-557. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01985.x

Clements, K. D.; Alfaro, M. E.; Fessler, J. L. and Westneat, M. W. (2004). Relationships of the temperate Australasian labrid fish tribe Odacini (Perciformes; Teleostei). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 32 :575–587. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.02.013

Craig, M. T.; Daniel, J. P.; Jens, P. C. and John, C. H. (2001). On the status of the Serranid fish genus *Epinephelus*: Evidence for paraphyly based upon 16S r-DNA sequence. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 19, :121-130. http://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0904

Dang, P. T.; Hung, L. P. K.; Oanh, T. T. and Vi, L. T. T. (2015). Preliminary taxonomic review of wrasses species (Labridae) from Vietnam with an integration of Identification key by scales differentiation for some labrid fishes, Red Sea, Egypt 217 morphological and molecular data. *Journal of Fish Science and Technology*, special issue.

Ding, S.; Zhuang, X.; Guo, F.; Wang, J.; Su, Y.; Zhang, Q. and Li, Q. (2006). Molecular phylogenetic relationships of China Seas groupers based on cytochrome b gene fragment sequences. *Science in China Series C*, 49 :235-242. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-006-0235-4 Edwards, S. V.; Potter, S.; Schmitt, C. J.; Bragg, J. G. and Moritz, C. (2016). Reticulation, divergence, and the phylogeography–phylogenetics continuum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(29) :8025-8032. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601066113</u>

Evans, K. M.; Vidal-García, M.; Tagliacollo, V. A.; Taylor, S. J. and Fenolio, D. B. (2019). Bony patchwork: Mosaic patterns of evolution in the skull of electric fishes (*Apteronotidae: Gymnotiformes*). *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 59(2) :420–431. http://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icz004

Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap. *Evolution*, 39(4) :783. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x</u>

Floeter, S. R.; Krohling, W.; Gasparini, J. L.; Ferreira, C. E. L. and Zalmon, I. R. (2007). Reef fish community structure on coastal islands of the southeastern Brazil: The influence of exposure and benthic cover. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 78 :147-160. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-006-9090-4

Ghezelayagh, A.; Harrington, R. C.; Burress, E. D.; Campbell, M. A.; Buckner, J. C.; Chakrabarty, P.; ... and Near, T. J. (2022). Prolonged morphological expansion of spiny-rayed fishes following the end-Cretaceous. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 6(8) :1211–1220. <u>http://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01642-w</u>

Ghorashi, S. A.; Fatemi, S. M.; Amini, F.; Houshmand, M.; Tabar, R. S. and Hazaie, K. (2008). Phylogenetic analysis of anemone fishes of the Persian Gulf using mtDNA sequences. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(12).

Gomon, M. F. (1997). Relationships of fishes of the labrid tribe Hypsigenyini. *Bulletin* of Marine Science, 60(3): 789–871.

Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: A User-Friendly Biological Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series, 41 :95-98. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-3953-3_19

Hanel, R.; Westneat, M. W. and Sturmbauer, C. (2002). Phylogenetic relationships, evolution of broodcare behavior, and geographic speciation in the wrasse tribe Labrini. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 55 :776–789. <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-002-2360-x</u>

Hebert, P. D. N.; Stoeckle, M. Y.; Zemlak, T. S. and Francis, C. M. (2004). Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biology*, 2(10) :e312. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020312 Hugall, A. F. and Stuart-Fox, D. (2012). Accelerated speciation in colour-polymorphic birds. *Nature*, 485(7400) :631-634. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11041</u>

Hughes, L. C.; Nash, C. M.; White, W. T. and Westneat, M. W. (2023). Concordance and discordance in the phylogenomics of the wrasses and parrotfishes (Teleostei: Labridae). *Systematic Biology*, 72(3) :530-543. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syab001</u>

Kaufman, L. S. (1982). Fishes of the suborder Labroidei (Pisces: Perciforms): phylogeny, ecology and evolutionary significance. *Breviora*, 472, :1-19.

Khalaf Allah, H. (2013). Morphological adaptations of digestive tract according to food and feeding habits of the broomtail wrasse, *Cheilinus lunulatus*. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 17(1), :123-141.

Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 16(2), :111–120. <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581</u>

Kocher, T. D.; Thomas, W. K.; Meyer, A.; Edwards, S. V.; Pääbo, S.; Villablanca, F. X. and Wilson, A. C. (1989). Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 86(16):6196-6200. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.16.6196

Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C. and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35(6) :1547–1549. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096</u>

Lemmon, A. R.; Brown, J. M.; Stanger-Hall, K.; Lemmon, E. M. and Theis, A. (2019). Phylogenetic informativeness II: a scoring system for species-level phylogenetic inference based on genomic data. *Evolutionary Biology*, 46(2) :233-245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-019-09488-x

Lieske, E. and Myers, R. (1994). Collins pocket guide to coral reef fishes: Indopacific and Caribbean. Harper Collins.

Mikami, Y. and Machida, Y. (1999). External and internal morphology and nucleotide sequence of mitochondrial cytochrome b gene in three *Thalassoma* species (Perciformes: Labridae). *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Series D, Biology*, 20 :35-46.

Mikami, Y. and Machida, Y. (1999). External and internal morphology and nucleotide sequence of mitochondrial cytochrome b gene in three Thalassoma species (Perciformes: Labridae). Mem Fac Sci Kochi Univ Ser D Biol, 20 :35-46.

Mitani, T.; Akane, A.; Tokiyasu, T.; Yoshimura, S.; Oki, Y. and Yoshida, M. (2009). Identification of animal species using the partial sequences in the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene. *Legal Medicine*, 11:S449-S450.

Miya, M. and Nishida, M. (2000). Use of mitogenomic information in teleostean molecular phylogenetics: a tree-based exploration under the maximum parsimony optimality criterion. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 17(3) :437-455. http://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0839

Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2000). *Molecular evolution and phylogenetics*. Oxford University Press.

Nelson, J. S. (2006). Fishes of the world. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.

Nematzadeh, M.; Gillkolaei, S. R.; Khalesi, M. K. and Laloei, F. (2013). Molecular phylogeny of mullets (Teleosti: Mugilidae) in Iran based on mitochondrial DNA. *Biochemical Genetics*, 51:334-340. <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/s10528-013-9561-2</u>

Palumbi, S. R. (1996). Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. In: Molecular Systematics (Eds. D. M. Hillis, C. Moritz, and B. K. Mable), 205-247. Sinauer & Associates Inc., Sunderland, MA, USA.

Parenti, P. and Randall, J. E. (2000). An annotated checklist of the species of the labroid fish families Labridae and Scaridae. Ichthyological Bulletin of the J.L.B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology, No. 68, 97

Parenti, P. and Randall, J. E. (2011). Checklist of the species of the families Labridae and Scaridae: an update. *Smithiana*, (13):29.

Phillips G.A.C.; Carleton K.L. and Marshall N.J. (2016). Multiple genetic mechanisms contribute to visual sensitivity variation in the Labridae. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(1):201–215. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv229</u>

Pondella, D.; Craig, M. and Franckc, J. (2003). The phylogeny of *Paralabrax* (Perciformes: Serranidae) and allied taxa inferred from partial 16S and 12S mitochondrial ribosomal DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 29 :176-184. http://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00116-6

Pradhan, A. and Mahapatra, B. K. (2017). First record of the two-spot razorfish, *Iniistius bimaculatus* (Perciformes: Labridae) from Digha, north-east coast of India. *Cuadernos de Investigación UNED Research Journal*, 9(1):115-118.

Price, S. A.; Holzman, R.; Near, T. J. and Wainwright, P. C. (2011). Coral reefs promote the evolution of morphological diversity and ecological novelty in labrid fishes. *Ecology Letters*, 14:462–469. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01602.x</u>

Qi, X. Z.; Yin, S. W.; Luo, J. and Huo, R. (2013). Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of the humphead wrasse, *Cheilinus undulatus. Genetics and Molecular Research*, 121:095-1105. <u>http://doi.org/10.4238/2013.January.30.1</u>

Quraishia, S.; Sundararajulu, P.; Zafarina, Z. and Nur, H. (2015). Molecular characterization of Malaysian marine fish species using the partial sequence of mitochondrial DNA 12S and 16S rRNA markers. *Sains Malaysiana*, 44 :1119-1123.

Ramadan, H. A. (2011). Sequence of specific mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene fragments from Egyptian buffalo is used as a pattern for discrimination between river buffaloes, cattle, sheep, and goats. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 38 :3929-3934. http://doi.org/10.1007/ s11033-010-0425-2

Randall, J. E. (1983). Red Sea Reef Fish. Randall, J.E. (ed.). Hong Kong, Immel Publishing Limited. London WIX5AE, 192. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.copeia.2004.09.005</u>

Rocha, L. A. (2004). Mitochondrial DNA and color pattern variation in three western Atlantic *Halichoeres* (Labridae), with the revalidation of two species. *Copeia*, 2004(4) :770-782. <u>http://doi.org/10.1643/CI-04-025R</u>

Rocha, L. A.; Robertson, D. R.; Roman, J. and Bowen, B. W. (2005). Ecological speciation in tropical reef fishes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272(1563):573-579. <u>http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.3006</u>

Saad, Y. M. (2019). Analysis of 16S mitochondrial ribosomal DNA sequence variations and phylogenetic relations among some Serranidae fishes. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 49(1):80-89. <u>http://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v49i1.9</u>

Saad, Y. M. and Abd El-Sadek, H. E. S. (2017). The efficiency of cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 gene (cox1) in reconstruction of phylogenetic relations among some crustacean species. *International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 11(7) :515-520. http://doi.org/10.25125/agriculture_journal

Saad, Y. M.; AbuZinadah, O. A. H.; El-Domyati, F. M. and Sabir, J. M. (2012). Analysis of Genetic signature for some *Plectropomus* species based on some dominant DNA markers. *Life Science Journal*, 9(4) :2370-2375. http://doi.org/10.7537/marslsj090412.338 Saad, Y. M.; AKM, S. O. and Gharbawi, W. M. (2019). Evaluation of molecular diversity in some Red Sea parrotfish species based on mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequence variations. *Research Journal of Biotechnology Vol*, 14 :12. http://doi.org/10.3923/rjbiotech.2019.12.19

Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 4(4) :406–425. http://doi.org/ 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454

Sampaio, C. L. S.; Neto, J. S. and Costa, T. L. A. (2016). Hogfish, *Lachnolaimus maximus* (Labridae) confirmed in the south-western Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 89:1873-1879. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13073</u>

Sanderson, S. L. (1990). Versatility and specialization in labrid fishes: ecomorphological implications. *Oecologia*, 84 :272-279. <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317784</u>

Simon, C.; Frati, F.; Beckenbach, A.; Crespi, B.; Liu, H. and Flook, P. (1994). Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 87(6) :651-701. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/87.6.651</u>

Singh, A. K.; Kumar, R.; Singh, M.; Mishra, A. K.; Chauhan, U. K.; Baisvar, V. S.; Verma, R.; Nagpure, N. S. and Kushwaha, B. (2015). Mitochondrial 16S rRNA genebased evolutionary divergence and molecular phylogeny of *Barilius* spp. *Mitochondrial DNA*, 26(1): 41–47. <u>http://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2013.829666</u>

Slowinski, J. B. and Page, R. D. (1999). How should species phylogenies be inferred from sequence data? *Systematic Biology*, 48(4) :814-825. https://doi.org/10.1080/106351599260040

Smith, L. L.; Fessler, J. L.; Alfaro, M. E.; Streelman, J. T. and Westneat, M. W. (2008). Phylogenetic relationships and the evolution of regulatory gene sequences in parrotfishes. *Molecular phylogenetics and evolution*, 49(1) :136-152. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev. 2008.07.009

Song, H.; Buhay, J. E.; Whiting, M. F. and Crandall, K. A. (2008). Many species in one: DNA barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are coamplified. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(36) :13486-13491. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803076105</u>

Sotka, E. E.; Bell, T.; Hughes, L. E.; Lowry, J. K. and Poore, A. G. (2017). A molecular phylogeny of marine amphipods in the herbivorous family Ampithoidae. *Zoologica Scripta*, 46(1):85-95. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12194</u>

Streelman, J. T.; Alfaro, M. E.; Westneat, M. W. and Karl, S. A. (2003). Evolutionary history of the parrotfish: biogeography, ecomorphology, and comparative diversity. *Evolution*, 56 :961–971. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01441.x</u>

Syam, A. R. and Syahputra, K. (2016). Control Region-Mitochondrial Partial DNA analysis of Humphead Wrasse [*Cheilinus Undulates* (Ruppel, 1835)] from Anambas Islands, Indonesia. *Aquatic Procedia*, 7 :125-131. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqpro.2016.06.015

Taberlet, P.; Coissac, E.; Pompanon, F.; Brochmann, C. and Willerslev, E. (2012). Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(8) :2045-2050. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05470.x</u>

Tamura, K. and Nei, M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 10(3) :512-526. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023</u>

Tatom-Naecker, T. A. M. and Westneat, M. W. (2018). Burrowing fishes: kinematics, morphology and phylogeny of sand-diving wrasses (*Labridae*). *Journal of fish biology*, 93(5):860-873. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13759</u>

Toonen, R. J. and Grosberg, R. K. (2011). Causes of chaos: spatial and temporal genetic heterogeneity in the intertidal anomuran crab Petrolisthes cinctipes. *Evolution*, 65(3):799-812. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01177.x</u>

Victor, B. C.; Alfaro, M. E. and Sorenson, L. (2013). Rediscovery of *Sagittalarva inornata* n. gen., n. comb.(Gilbert, 1890)(*Perciformes: Labridae*), a long-lost deepwater fish from the eastern Pacific Ocean: a case study of a forensic approach to taxonomy using DNA barcoding. *Zootaxa*, 3669(4) :551-570. http://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3669.4.4

Wainwright, P.C.; Bellwood, D.R.; Westneat, M.W.; Grubich, J.R. and Hoey, A.S. (2004). A functional morphospace for the skull of labrid fishes: patterns of diversity in a complex biomechanical system. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 82 :1–25. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00332.x

Wang, T.; Li, Y.; Ma, Q.; Liu, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Wu, P.; ... and Li, C. (2023). The complete mitochondrial genome of Cheilinus trilobatus (Perciformes: Labridae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B, 8(1):73-75.

Ward, R. D.; Zemlak, T. S.; Innes, B. H.; Last, P. R. and Hebert, P. D. (2005). DNA barcoding Australia's fish species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 360(1462) :1847-1857. <u>http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1716</u>

Westneat, M. W. (1993). Phylogenetic relationships of the tribe Cheilinini (*Labridae*: *Perciformes*). *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 52(1):351-394.

Westneat, M. W. (1995). Feeding, function, and phylogeny: analysis of historical biomechanics in labrid fishes using comparative methods. *Systematic Biology*, 44 :361–383.

Westneat, M. W. and Alfaro, M. E. (2005). Phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary history of the reef fish family Labridae. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 36(2) :370-390. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.02.001</u>

Westneat, M. W.; Alfaro, M. E.; Wainwright, P. C.; Bellwood, D. R.; Grubich, J. R.; Fessler, J. L.; ... and Smith, L. L. (2005). Local phylogenetic divergence and global evolutionary convergence of skull function in reef fishes of the family Labridae. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272(1567) :993-1000. http://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2004.2986

Wiens, J. J. (2004). The role of morphological data in phylogeny reconstruction. *Systematic Biology*, 53(4):653-661. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150490472959</u>

Yi, M.; Gu, S.; Luo, Z.; Lin, H. D. and Yan, Y. (2019). Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of the coral reef fish, *Hemigymnus melapterus (Pisces: Labridae)* and its phylogenetic implications. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B*, 4(2) :4168–4169. http://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1706559

Zhang, D. X. and Hewitt, G. M. (2003). Nuclear DNA analyses in genetic studies of populations: practice, problems and prospects. *Molecular Ecology*, 12(3) :563-584. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01773.x