Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology & Fisheries Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. ISSN 1110 – 6131 Vol. 27(5): 349 – 364 (2023) www.ejabf.journals.ekb.eg



Inorganic Carbon Supplementation to Culture Medium Enhancing Growth Performance and Pigmentation of Freshwater Microalgae *Chlorella ellipsoidea* 

Md. Amzad Hossain<sup>1</sup>\*, Nazmul Ahsan Oli<sup>1</sup>, Taslima Akter<sup>1</sup>, Umme Kaniz Fatema<sup>1</sup>, Mst. Rubia Banu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Aquaculture, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Gazipur- 1706, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of Fisheries Management, BSMRAU, Gazipur-1706, Bangladesh **Corresponding Author: amzad@bsmrau.edu.bd** 

## **ARTICLE INFO**

Article History: Received: Dec. 5, 2023

Accepted: Aug. 17, 2023 Online: Sept. 21, 2023

#### Keywords:

Chlorella ellipsoidea, Sodium bicarbonate, Bold's basal medium, Carbon source, Growth, Pigmentation, Microalgae

### ABSTRACT

The availability of inorganic carbon in the culture media is a limiting factor for the growth of photosynthetic microalgae. However, the expense of supplying carbon dioxide to culture is a significant fraction of total energy usage. Bicarbonate salts, when added to the growth medium, can be an inexpensive inorganic carbon source for microalgae. In this study, Chlorella ellipsoidea was used to assess the capability of this species to utilize bicarbonate and determine the impact of this carbon source on growth performance and pigmentation. In a batch experiment, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 g/L of sodium bicarbonate was added to Bold's basal medium. Growth values were compared to those of the control group that received only Bold's basal medium. C. ellipsoidea was able to grow in all concentrations of bicarbonate and had a high capacity for biomass production. The exponential growth was increased with the addition of sodium bicarbonate to Bold's basal medium, and it continued on the fifteenth day of culture. Among the culture media, Bold's basal medium with 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate had the highest cell density (41.17  $\pm$  0.23 x 105 cells/mL), cell dry weight (24.55  $\pm$  0.12 mg/L), optical density (1.33  $\pm$  0.05), and chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* contents (6.51  $\pm$  0.09 mg/L and 3.97  $\pm$  0.13 mg/L, respectively). The specific growth rate significantly increased due to the addition of sodium bicarbonate up to 1.5 g/L level. The highest purity (77.04  $\pm$  0.32 %) was found in 1.0 g/L sodium bicarbonate supplementation to Bold's basal medium followed by  $73.44 \pm 0.32$ % purity in 1.5 g/L supplementation. The study revealed that bicarbonate can stimulate algal growth. An appropriate supply of sodium bicarbonate at 1.5 g/L to Bold's basal medium as an inorganic carbon source can be considered an acceptable alternative to carbon dioxide for the production of C. ellipsoidea.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Indexed in Scopus

Microalgae are a group of fast-growing unicellular or simple multicellular microorganisms with greater photosynthetic efficiency and biomass conversion than terrestrial plants (Miao & Wu, 2006). They are used in numerous commercial applications, including human food, aquafeed supplements, nutraceuticals and biofuel

ELSEVIER DOA

IUCAT

generation (Guccione *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2018). Microalgae manufacture their food by autotrophic nutrition, which is mostly stored as starch (Choix *et al.*, 2012; Yadala & Cremaschi, 2014). In aquatic ecosystems, microalgae are regarded as the most fundamental producers in the food chain (De Silva *et al.*, 2018). The potential of microalgae from the genus *Chlorella* to produce important nutritional compounds, such as vital amino acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, carotene and vitamins, has attracted scientific attention in recent years (Vadlamani *et al.*, 2018; Sampathkumar & Gothandam, 2019).

*Chlorella ellipsoidea* is a fast-growing freshwater microalgae that acts as a vital food supplement for aquatic organisms promoting their growth, reproduction and survival (**Rahman** *et al.*, 2005; Mandal & Mallick, 2009; Akter *et al.*, 2016). Brown *et al.* (1997) also reported *C. ellipsoidea* as a promising primary producer and a possible source of several nutritive components, such as proteins, carbohydrates, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), amino acids, vitamins and minerals.

Environmental factors impact microalgal growth and biochemical composition (**Guiheneuf** *et al.*, **2008**). Therefore, the culture medium has a significant effect on the growth and quality of microalgae, and Bold's basal medium (BBM) is extensively employed for the cultivation of *Chlorella* sp. (**Xin** *et al.*, **2010**; **Ilavarasi** *et al.*, **2011**). However, the availability of inorganic carbon sources stimulates phototrophic cell growth and lipid accumulation in both freshwater and marine microalgae (White *et al.*, **2013**).

The cultivation system is often supplied with inorganic carbon in three ways: (1) as pumping air, (2) and as pumping air with concentrated  $CO_2$  (usually in microalgae production is an approach used to produce a high level of biomass; nevertheless, the overall process may be expensive and inefficient due to  $CO_2$  loss to the atmosphere (Acien *et al.*, 2012; Nunez & Quigg, 2016). The  $CO_2$  content of ambient air is quite low (0.04%); hence, a considerable quantity of air is required to aerate the culture, resulting in high energy consumption due to the enormous amount of energy required for pumping (Markou *et al.*, 2014). In fact, technological features of capture, compression, transportation, temporary storage issues and gas loss can account for up to fifty percent of the cost of biomass production (Chi *et al.*, 2011; Chisti, 2013).

Bicarbonate salts could be employed as an alternate carbon source for algal production (Chi *et al.*, 2013; 2014). These salts are more soluble in water than carbon dioxide (i.e., sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) solubility > 90 g/L at 25 °C); hence, their use efficiency is anticipated to be greater than that of CO<sub>2</sub> (Chi *et al.*, 2014; Markou *et al.*, 2014). The NaHCO<sub>3</sub> has been shown to increase growth and lipid accumulation in some microalgae (Gardner *et al.*, 2013; White *et al.*, 2013; Peng *et al.*, 2014) and could be a more cost-effective and environmentally friendly alternative (Kim *et al.*, 2014; De Farias Silva *et al.*, 2016). Accordingly, the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to culture media improved inorganic carbon uptake for microalgal cell proliferation and maximized *Chlorella* sp. production (Mokashi *et al.*, 2016). In this regard, evaluating the

development and photosynthetic efficiency of the green microalga *C. ellipsoida* cultivated at various bicarbonate concentrations was the main goal of the current investigation.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Culture of C. ellipsoidea and experimental conditions

*C. ellipsoidea* maintenance cultures were grown in 250 mL Erlenmeyer shake flasks containing Bold's basal medium (BBM) at 135 rpm mixing (Temp.  $24 \pm 1.0$  °C) and under a constant illumination of 29 µmol photons/m<sup>2</sup>/s (5x.OSRAM L 18W/965 Biolux fluorescent lamps). The light intensity was measured with a lux meter (DeltaOHM HD 9221).

Experimental cultures of *C. ellipsoidea* were grown in BBM (control) and BBM, supplemented with varying doses of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.25 g/L, 0.5 g/L, 1.0 g/L, 1.5 g/L, and 2.0 g/L) (**Srinivasan** *et al.*, **2018**). As a supply of bicarbonate, Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri, United States) analytical grade NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was utilized. The BBM for the maintenance cultures and the control was prepared in MilliQ- water containing the following components (mg/L): NaNO<sub>3</sub> 250.0, MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O 75.0, NaCl 25.0, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 75.0, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 175.0, CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O 25.0, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O 8.80, MnCl<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O 1.50, MoO<sub>3</sub> 0.70, CuSO<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O 1.60 (**Bernstein** *et al.*, **2014**).

*C. ellipsoidea* was grown in a batch procedure in a conical flask containing 1.0 L of growth medium. Throughout the experiment, there were no additional  $CO_2$  insufflations beyond what was already present in the flushing air. The light source was a cool white LED (T5 15W 6400K, 80 mol m-2s-1), with continuous illumination light (LED in the red and blue spectra, 200 mol photons/m<sup>2</sup>/s). The medium was autoclaved after the pH was brought down to 7.5 by adding 1 M HCl. An air pump (ACO-003), a non-sterile disposable syringe filter (Millex Syringe Filter, Nylon, 0.45 m pore size) and an aquarium air curtain were used to provide the sterile air. Over the duration of the 18-day experiment, samples were taken every three days.

### **Estimation of growth parameters**

Using an upgraded Neubauer rule hemocytometer and a light microscope (ZEISS PrimoStar), the cell density of *C. ellipsoidea* was calculated using the following mathematical expression (**Clesceri** *et al.*, **1989**):

Number of cells/mL suspension = Mean number of *C. ellipsoidea* cells/square × Dilution factor  $\times 10^4$ 

Dry cell weight (DCW) was measured using cellulose acetate filters of  $0.45\mu m$  (Whatman®). To eliminate any moisture from the filters, they were pre-dried for 10 minutes at 105°C. The biomass was filtered and dried at 105°C for two hours before being weighed, and its dry weight was determined using the following formula (**Clesceri** *et al.*, **1989**):

W = [(FFW-IFW) / Volume of the sample filtered (mL)] x 100

Where, W= Dry cell weight (g/L); FFW= Final filter weight (g) and IFW= Initial filter weight (g).

The sample of *C. ellipsoidea* grown under different treatments was placed in a cuvette, and its optical density (OD) at 620 nm was measured with a spectrophotometer (DR 5000<sup>TM</sup>, UV-Vis).

The purity of culture media was assessed using a hemocytometer. If the *C*. *ellipsoidea* count was X and the other microalgae was Y, then the sum was (X + Y) = A. Therefore, the percentage of *C*. *ellipsoidea* purity was  $(X / A) \times 100$ .

The formula below was used to calculate the specific growth rate of *C*. *ellipsoidea*:

 $\mu = (\ln (N_t/N_0)) / (T_t-T_0)$ 

Where,  $N_0$  and  $N_t$  are, respectively, the total number of cells at the start of the log phase ( $T_0$ ) and the end of the log phase ( $T_t$ ).

## **Estimation of pigment content**

Chlorophyll *a* was determined through spectrophotometric analysis. For this, a 10mL- culture sample that had been filtered through 25mm Whatman® GF/F filters was mashed with a glass rod, blended with 10mL of 100 % redistilled acetone, and then left overnight in the refrigerator. Following homogenization, the materials were centrifuged at 4000rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was separated, and the absorbance of the light green supernatant was measured at three wavelengths (Akter *et al.*, 2019) using a spectrophotometer ((BK-UV1800, BIOBASE). The formula shown below was then used to calculate Chlorophyll *a* (Clesceri *et al.*, 1989):

Chlorophyll *a* (mg/L) = 11.85(OD 664) - 1.54(OD 647) - 0.08(OD 630)

The chlorophyll *b* concentration was measured in triplicate in accordance with Fathi *et al.* (2013). Briefly, 5 ml of *C. ellipsoidea* culture was collected and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4000 rcf at 15 °C. After discarding the supernatant, the algal culture was centrifuged once again to get rid of any remaining salt. The algal pellet was then placed in a tube containing 5 ml of an 80% acetone solution and left overnight. The tube was then centrifuged at 4,000 rcf at 15 °C for 10 min and placed in a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (BK-UV1800, BIOBASE) to measure the light absorbance at 412, 431, 460, and 480 nm while using 100% acetone as a blank. Lastly, the following formula was used to determine the amount of chlorophyll *b*:

Chlorophyll b (mg/L) = -0.171 (A412) - 0.230(A431) + 11.871(A460) - 13.248(A480)

# Determination of physico-chemical properties of the culture media

Every three days, physico-chemical parameters were monitored, such as

temperature (Hach hq40d multi-analyzer, USA), dissolved oxygen (Hach hq40d multianalyzer, USA), pH (SensIONTM+ PH3) and light intensity (LX-9621, China).

## **Statistical analysis**

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's post-hoc test for honestly significant difference (HSD) were used to statistically examine all the data. The significance threshold was fixed at P < 0.05. The data were reported as means with a standard deviation (SD), and IBM SPSS Statistics V21 was used for the statistical analysis.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Physico-chemical properties**

Microalgal growth is greatly influenced by environmental variables such as light, temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH (Giardono *et al.*, 2005; Cho *et al.*, 2007; Khoeyi *et al.*, 2012). In this study, the growth performance of *C. ellipsoidea* was significantly affected by the various environmental conditions of culture media. In the present investigation, the temperature was fluctuated between  $26.8 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C and  $28.4 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C (Table 1). The highest temperature was recorded on the  $15^{\text{th}}$  day of culture for treatment T<sub>3</sub>, whereas the lowest was recorded on the  $3^{\text{rd}}$  day for treatment T<sub>5</sub>. The optimal temperature range for the growths of *Chlorella* sp. is 25 °C to 30 °C (Rahman *et al.*, 2005; Converti *et al.*, 2009). *C. ellipsoidea* flourished at temperatures between 26.5 to 28.5 °C (Alam *et al.*, 2003; Toyub *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, the temperature in this study fell within the optimal range for the cultivation of *C. ellipsoidea*.

NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	Parameter	Sampling time (day)						
(g/L)		Initial	3	6	9	12	15	18
0	Temperature (°C)	26.9±0.13	$26.9\pm0.21$	$26.9\pm0.30$	$27.3\pm0.35$	$27.8\pm0.43$	$27.7\pm0.16$	$28.0\pm0.26$
	DO (mg/L)	$4.80\pm0.12$	$4.83\pm0.11$	$4.86\pm0.09$	$4.93\pm0.16$	$5.20\pm0.11$	$5.00\pm0.13$	$4.79\pm0.16$
	pH	$7.50\pm0.00$	$7.59 \pm 0.21$	$7.64 \pm 0.11$	$7.81 \pm 0.13$	$7.98 \pm 0.13$	$8.17\pm0.14$	$8.26\pm0.09$
0.25	Temperature (°C)	$26.9\pm0.33$	$27.1\pm0.21$	$26.9\pm0.30$	$27.5\pm0.35$	$27.8\pm0.33$	$27.2\pm0.16$	$27.1\pm0.25$
	DO (mg/L)	$4.72\pm0.12$	$4.73\pm0.11$	$4.89\pm0.13$	$4.83\pm0.09$	$4.79\pm0.11$	$4.92\pm0.03$	$4.99\pm0.16$
	pH	$7.50\pm0.00$	$7.67\pm0.21$	$7.60\pm0.31$	$7.82\pm0.13$	$8.01\pm0.17$	$8.16\pm0.17$	$8.26\pm0.21$
0.50	Temperature (°C)	$26.9\pm0.03$	$27.2\pm0.21$	$27.6\pm0.30$	$27.2\pm0.35$	$27.8\pm0.63$	$27.8\pm0.16$	$28.4\pm0.21$
	DO (mg/L)	$4.79\pm0.18$	$4.83\pm0.11$	$5.00\pm0.23$	$4.63\pm0.16$	$5.29 \pm 0.11$	$4.92\pm0.16$	$4.98 \pm 0.15$
	pН	$7.50\pm0.00$	$7.58 \pm 0.22$	$7.72\pm0.13$	$7.83 \pm 0.22$	$7.98 \pm 0.01$	$8.19\pm0.14$	$8.24\pm0.06$
1.00	Temperature (°C)	$27.1\pm0.23$	$27.1\pm0.21$	$27.3\pm0.30$	$27.7\pm0.34$	$28.0\pm0.63$	$27.7\pm0.17$	$28.1\pm0.26$
	DO (mg/L)	$4.80\pm0.12$	$4.73\pm0.11$	$4.76\pm0.13$	$4.73\pm0.26$	$5.28 \pm 0.11$	$4.92\pm0.33$	$4.98\pm0.16$
	pH	$7.50\pm0.00$	$7.59 \pm 0.23$	$7.80\pm0.16$	$7.85\pm0.17$	$7.92\pm0.21$	$8.11 \pm 0.04$	$8.25\pm0.16$
1.50	Temperature (°C)	$27.0\pm0.23$	$26.8\pm0.20$	$27.8\pm0.30$	$27.8 \pm 0.35$	$27.6\pm0.6$	$27.9\pm0.2$	$28.3\pm0.3$
	DO (mg/L)	$4.83\pm0.12$	$4.86\pm0.11$	$5.10\pm0.14$	$4.63\pm0.09$	$5.62\pm0.18$	$4.68\pm0.07$	$4.86\pm0.16$
	pН	$7.50\pm0.00$	$7.59 \pm 0.12$	$7.69\pm0.15$	$7.89 \pm 0.12$	$7.91 \pm 0.11$	$8.10\pm0.14$	$8.24\pm0.15$
2.00	Temperature (°C)	$27.2\pm0.2$	$27.3\pm0.2$	$27.6\pm0.3$	$28.0\pm0.4$	$27.9\pm0.3$	$28.0\pm0.16$	$28.2\pm0.3$
	DO (mg/L)	$4.90\pm0.13$	$4.71\pm0.21$	$4.91\pm0.33$	$4.73\pm0.16$	$5.85\pm0.13$	$4.72\pm0.17$	$4.76\pm0.15$
	pH	$7.50\pm0.00$	$7.56\pm0.21$	$7.68 \pm 0.11$	$7.86 \pm 0.19$	$8.02\pm0.31$	$8.15\pm0.11$	$8.29\pm0.14$
Note: Volume are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD $n = 2$								

 Table 1. Physico-chemical parameters of culture medium under different NaHCO3 supplementations to BBM

Note: Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3.

DO varied between 4.63 & 5.85mg/ l in all treatments, with no significant changes over time. This happened because in this investigation, the cultures were

regularly aerated. Some studies reported that the highest growth of *C. ellipsoidea* was observed at DO more than 4.5mg/ L in BBM medium, which is compatible with the findings of the present study (**Karmaker** *et al.*, **2001; Rahman** *et al.*, **2005**). Light intensity recorded in this experiment varied between 2170 and 2310 lux/m<sup>2</sup>/s, which was within the optimal range of *C. ellipsoidea*, for which an optimal range of 2000 to 2500 lux/m<sup>2</sup>/s has been described (**Mondal** *et al.*, **2005; Rahman** *et al.*, **2005**). At the beginning of the experiment, the pH was adjusted to 7.50 for all treatments, and it rose steadily as the culture time progressed. However, there were no significant variations in pH between treatments on a given day, likely due to the use of bicarbonate ions in the medium for algae photosynthesis and development (Jaysanker & Valsala, 2008; Gardner *et al.*, **2013**). However, the pH in all treatments throughout the study period was also within the optimal range for microalgae (**Fathi** *et al.*, **2013; Fatemeh & Mohsen**, **2016**). This experiment revealed that the physical and chemical conditions of culture media in all treatments were optimal for *C. ellipsoidea* cultivation.

## Effect of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementation on cell density

In all treatments, cell density rose gradually until the 15<sup>th</sup> day, when it declined. At the end of the 15-day exponential phase, the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to culture media significantly increased the cell density of C. ellipsoidea, which varied from  $21.08 \pm 0.28$ to  $41.17 \pm 0.23$  (× 10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL) compared to  $19.08 \pm 0.30$  (×10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL) in the control. Rahman et al. (2005) conducted an experiment in which the maximum cell density for C. ellipsoidea cultivation ranged from 36.2 to 43.8 ( $\times 10^5$  cells/mL). Karmaker et al. (2001) observed a similar range for the cell density of C. ellipsoidea in another investigation, ranging from 33.6 to 68.28 ( $\times 10^5$  cells/mL). As shown in Fig. (1), the addition of 1.5g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to BBM considerably increased (P < 0.05) the cell density on day 15; however, increasing the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> level further decreased the cell density. This decrease in cell density was caused by the fact that adding bicarbonate with higher concentrations results in an increase in Na<sup>+</sup> ions in the growth medium, which most freshwater organisms cannot tolerate (Chen & Jiang, 2009; Chi et al., 2014). Chlorella vulgaris had the best bicarbonate tolerance at 1.0g/L, whereas Nannochloropsis salina, a marine microalga, developed more quickly and had higher cell densities in cultures that contained NaHCO<sub>3</sub> at 5.0g/ L (Pal et al., 2011; Mokashi et al. 2016). In the present study, C. ellipsoidea responded optimum to 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and further addition (2.0 g/L) resulted in lower cell densities, comparable to the findings of Javasankar and Valsala (2008) for C. salina.



**Fig. 1.** Cell densities (×10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL) in *C. ellipsoidea* control (BBM) and bicarbonate enriched cultures (NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 g/L). Error bars represent SD (n = 3). The values with the same letter were not significantly different (P< 0.05, ANOVA, Tukey's HSD).

#### Effect of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementation on dry cell weight (DCW)

Among the treatments, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplemented media demonstrated superior DCW than the medium without supplementation (control medium) that are shown in Table (2). At the end of the 15 days exponential phase, the minimum average DCW was  $19.34 \pm 0.10$ mg/ L (control, BBM). In contrast, the maximum value was  $24.55 \pm 0.12$  mg/L when 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added, which was followed by 1.00, 2.00, 0.50 and 0.25 g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. In all the treatments, except control, DCW was increased with the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (up to 1.5g/ L) to the culture medium and tended to decrease with supplementation of 2.0 g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. In addition, lower NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementation concentrations (0.25 and 0.5g/ L) resulted in decreased DCW throughout the culture.

DDIVI								
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	Culture period (days)							
(g/L)	3	6	9	12	15	18		
0	$2.88 \pm 0.03^{e}$	$6.36 \pm 0.10^{ m f}$	$11.85 \pm 0.07^{d}$	$15.67 \pm 0.11^{e}$	$19.34 \pm 0.10^{\rm e}$	$17.60 \pm 0.11^{\rm f}$		
0.25	$2.95 \pm 0.07^{d}$	$7.43 \pm 0.11^{e}$	$11.93 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$16.59 \pm 0.12^{d}$	$21.07 \pm 0.13^{d}$	$19.74 \pm 0.12^{e}$		
0.50	$3.12 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$7.75 \pm 0.09^{d}$	$11.34 \pm 0.11^{e}$	$17.06 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	$22.04 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$	$20.10 \pm 0.14^{d}$		
1.00	$3.33 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$8.02 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$11.77 \pm 0.10^{ m d}$	$17.17 \pm 0.12^{b}$	$23.30 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$20.48\pm0.10^{\rm c}$		
1.50	$3.41\pm0.04^{a}$	$9.42\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	$14.16 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$18.12\pm0.13^{\rm a}$	$24.55\pm0.12^a$	$22.56\pm0.10^{a}$		
2.00	$3.32 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$8.73 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$12.56 \pm 0.12^{b}$	$17.23 \pm 0.10^{b}$	$23.24 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$22.13 \pm 0.12^{b}$		

**Table 2.** Dry cell weight (mg/L) of *C. ellipsoidea* cultured under different NaHCO<sub>2</sub> supplementations to BBM

Note: Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3. Values in each column that have different superscripts are statistically different (*P*<0.05).

In this investigation, the growth performance of *C. ellipsoidea* under comparable carbon levels is consistent with those of other microalgae species (Sanchez-Saavedra *et al.*, 1996; Shu *et al.*, 2012; Woodworth *et al.*, 2015). According to Mokashi *et al.* (2016), adding 1.0 g/L of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to the growth medium for *Chlorella* sp. resulted in significantly larger biomass (P < 0.05). In another study, DCW production of *C. vulgaris* rose with increasing NaHCO<sub>3</sub> concentration, reaching a maximum at 1.2g/ L, and a further increase in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> concentration inhibited DCW production (Yeh *et al.*, 2010). Cultivation conditions can optimize the algal biomass production rates. Carbon limitations resulting from the poor solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in water can hinder plant growth (Giordano *et al.*, 2005; Aishvarya *et al.*, 2012). NaHCO<sub>3</sub> is more soluble than CO<sub>2</sub> and could serve as an alternative inorganic carbon source for microalgal culture (Hsueh *et al.*, 2007). Accordingly, the addition of 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to BBM was suitable for *C. ellipsoidea* biomass generation in the present experiment.

#### Influence of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> on optical density, purity, and growth rate

Table (3) summarizes the effects of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementation on the optical density, purity and specific growth rate of *C. ellipsoidea*. Optical density (1.33  $\pm$  0.05) and specific growth rate (0.174  $\pm$  0.02  $\mu$ /day) were substantially greater in BBM supplemented with 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. However, the highest purity (77.04  $\pm$  0.32%) was achieved with 1.0g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, followed by 73.73  $\pm$  0.22% with 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Control, without NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, exhibited the lowest growth performance (*P*< 0.05), except for purity, which was the lowest (61.92  $\pm$  0.21%) in treatment with 0.5 g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementation.

NaHCO3	<b>Optical density</b>	Purity (%)	Specific growth rate (µ/day)
(g/L)			
0	$0.66 \pm 0.01^{e}$	$67.23 \pm 0.16^{d}$	$0.149 \pm 0.01^{ m b}$
0.25	$0.71\pm0.01^{ m d}$	$70.55 \pm 0.19^{\circ}$	$0.157 \pm 0.02^{ m ab}$
0.50	$0.72 \pm 0.01^{d}$	$61.92 \pm 0.21^{e}$	$0.157 \pm 0.01^{ m ab}$
1.00	$1.09\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	$77.04 \pm 0.32^{a}$	$0.158\pm0.01^{\rm ab}$
1.50	$1.33\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	$73.73 \pm 0.22^{b}$	$0.174\pm0.02^{\rm a}$
2.00	$0.98\pm0.01^{\rm c}$	$70.39\pm0.28^{\rm c}$	$0.163\pm0.01^{\rm b}$

**Table 3.** Optical density, purity and specific growth rate for *C. ellipsoidea* culture under different NaHCO<sub>2</sub> supplementations to culture media

Note: Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3. Values with different superscripts in each column are significantly different (*P*< 0.05).

The specific growth rate of the microalgae population is another indicator of the increase in biomass, and a time-dependent rise in the specific growth rate was found in the present study. **Mokashi** *et al.* (2016) found the highest specific growth rate (0.653  $\mu$  /day) for *Chlorella vulgaris* when 1.0g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added to the culture medium. However, the highest specific growth rate was found in up to 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementations in the present study, which was comparatively lower than that obtained

by **Mokashi** *et al.* (2016). These discrepancies may be attributable to differences in species and culture media. The best purity was obtained in the current study when 1.00– 1.50g/ L of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added. **Banerjee** *et al.* (2016) tested with *Chlorella* sp. cultivation in low-cost media and obtained a higher cell density of 29.5 (×10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL) with 76.6% purity, which is comparable to the purity observed in the current experiment. **Peng** *et al.* (2014) claimed that, sufficient NaHCO<sub>3</sub> concentrations caused protozoa to decline in cultures, possibly as a result of increasing osmotic pressure-induced water outflow. These benefits, rapid dose-dependent effects on growth, photosynthetic efficiency, and pigment content, in addition to the sodium bicarbonate's bactericidal and bacteriostatic effects on the culture medium, indicate that this salt can serve as a substitute for gaseous CO<sub>2</sub>.

# Effects of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplementation on pigmentation

During the culture period, pigment concentrations (chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*) of *C. ellipsoidea* increased until the exponential phase (15<sup>th</sup> day), at which point they began to decline (Table 4). At the end of the exponential phase, chlorophyll *a* (6.51  $\pm$  0.14 mg/L) and chlorophyll *b* (3.97  $\pm$  0.13 mg/L) were substantially higher (*P*< 0.05) in the 1.5g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> supplemented culture compared to the control (5.46  $\pm$  0.12 and 3.20  $\pm$  0.11 mg/L, respectively). Some other microalgae recorded an increase in chlorophyll content upon the increase in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> concentration in the growing medium (White *et al.*, 2013).

NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	Sampling time (day)						
(g/L)	3	6	9	12	15	18	
	Chlorophyll a (mg/L)						
0	$1.22 \pm 0.04$	$2.81 \pm 0.07d$	$4.66 \pm 0.08c$	$4.72\pm0.08e$	$5.46 \pm 0.12d$	$5.23 \pm 0.09 d$	
0.25	$1.28\pm0.05$	$3.10\pm0.07c$	$4.73\pm0.07bc$	$4.62 \pm 0.11e$	$5.76 \pm 0.09c$	$5.41 \pm 0.14c$	
0.50	$1.18\pm0.03$	$3.23 \pm 0.08c$	$4.92\pm0.05b$	$5.24\pm0.07d$	$6.01\pm0.13b$	$5.60\pm0.09b$	
1.00	$1.13\pm0.04$	$3.63\pm0.06b$	$4.73\pm0.09bc$	$5.51\pm0.10c$	$6.14\pm0.14b$	$5.69\pm0.08b$	
1.50	$1.25\pm0.09$	$3.93\pm0.04a$	$5.20 \pm 0.06a$	$6.32\pm0.07a$	$6.51\pm0.09a$	$6.03 \pm 0.12a$	
2.00	$1.21 \pm 0.08$	$3.70\pm0.09b$	$5.00\pm0.08b$	$5.82\pm0.09b$	$6.03 \pm 0.11b$	$5.71\pm0.13b$	
	Chlorophyll	<i>b</i> (mg/L)					
0	$0.87 \pm 0.03$	$1.23 \pm 0.06c$	$1.62 \pm 0.09c$	$2.11\pm0.10d$	$3.20 \pm 0.11d$	$2.95 \pm 0.11c$	
0.25	$0.86\pm0.05$	$1.32 \pm 0.07 bc$	$1.72 \pm 0.09c$	$2.27\pm0.07c$	$3.54 \pm 0.08c$	$3.13 \pm 0.07c$	
0.50	$0.95\pm0.03$	$1.39\pm0.04b$	$1.88 \pm 0.11a$	$2.33\pm0.07c$	$3.79\pm0.09b$	$3.54\pm0.06b$	
1.00	$0.93\pm0.04$	$1.43\pm0.09b$	$1.81 \pm 0.08a$	$2.53\pm0.10b$	$3.66 \pm 0.12b$	$3.59\pm0.08b$	
1.50	$0.99\pm0.06$	$1.62\pm0.06a$	$1.94 \pm 0.07a$	$2.72\pm0.09a$	$3.97 \pm 0.13a$	$3.76 \pm 0.11a$	
2.00	$0.94\pm0.07$	$1.59\pm0.08a$	$1.83\pm0.09a$	$2.63\pm0.06a$	$3.81\pm0.08a$	$3.72\pm0.07a$	

 Table 4. Pigment contents of C. ellipsoidea cultured under different NaHCO3 supplementations to culture media

Note: Letters within the same column for a specific pigment indicate significant (P < 0.05) differences of means within the treatments (n = 3).

Chlorophyll is typically recognized as a reliable and accepted indication of algal biomass (Wiltshire *et al.*, 1998; Knefelkamp *et al.*, 2007). In this study, chlorophyll concentration was taken into account as an indicator of how inorganic carbon (sodium bicarbonate) affects the physiology of *C. ellipsoidea* because carbon reflects the physiological condition of microalgae to chlorophyll ratio. Both the chlorophyll *a* and

chlorophyll *b* contents of cultures were higher in the maximum bicarbonate concentration and decreased with decreasing concentrations. In the presence of 1.0g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, chlorophyll biosynthesis was increased in C. vulgaris (Mokashi et al., 2016). However, it was 0.5g/ L for the maximum chlorophyll production in C. salina (Jayasankar & Valsala, 2008). The addition of bicarbonate to C. sorokiniana culture led to a rise in chlorophyll a for the duration of the experiment, with the highest levels in cells supplemented with 3g/ L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (Salbitani et al., 2020). The rise in chlorophyll a in the experimental cultures may be a result of the increased availability of inorganic carbon in the growth media, which is required for pigment synthesis. Since chlorophyll content is a valid predictor of the physiological status of microalgae (Srinivasan et al., 2018), the high amounts of chlorophyll seen with bicarbonate treatments indicate healthy cells. It can be inferred that the addition of bicarbonate at the concentrations used in this study did not impair the photosynthetic performance of the algal cells since the amount of phaeopigments in plant cells typically increases in response to abiotic stress (pH, temperature, etc.) or with age (Hortensteiner, 2006; Borghini et al., 2009). In the present study, cell density and cell dry weight exhibited a strong positive connection with chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* pigments across all treatments (Table 5).

NaHCO <sub>3</sub> (g/L)	Parameter	Cell density	Cell dry weight	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b
0	Cell density	1			
	Cell dry weight	0.976**	1		
	Chlorophyll a	0.988**	0.964**	1	
	Chlorophyl b	0.983**	0.958**	0.996**	1
0.25	Cell density	1			
	Cell dry weight	0.968**	1		
	Chlorophyll a	0.970**	0.969**	1	
	Chlorophyll b	0.989**	0.943**	0.993**	1
0.50	Cell density	1			
	Cell dry weight	0.975**	1		
	Chlorophyll a	0.983**	0.969**	1	
	Chlorophyll b	0.978**	0.975**	0.989**	1
1.00	Cell density	1			
	Cell dry weight	0.977**	1		
	Chlorophyll a	0.984**	0.970**	1	
	Chlorophyll b	0.989**	0.978**	0.993**	1
1.50	Cell density	1			
	Cell dry weight	0.969**	1		
	Chlorophyll a	0.975**	0.977**	1	
_	Chlorophyll b	0.989**	0.968**	0.990**	1
2.00	Cell density	1			
	Cell dry weight	0.976**	1		
	Chlorophyll a	0.983**	0.973**	1	
	Chlorophyll b	0.973**	0.959**	0.991**	1

 Table 5. Pearson correlation analysis among the growth parameters and pigment contents under different treatments

Note: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). Correlation coefficients (r) are indicated with numeric values. The scale of the correlation coefficient is  $0.8 \le r \le 1.0$ : A very strong positive correlation.

In light of algal growth parameters from the present investigation, it can be concluded that the culture of C. ellipsoidea was significantly affected by the addition of inorganic carbon sources such as NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to BBM. Furthermore, whereas bicarbonate salts may easily be supplied to algal plants and stored until needed, gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> requires expensive storage and transportation. Bicarbonate's inclusion promotes the creation of cellular material, which leads to maximum productivity and increases inorganic carbon absorption. While, the addition of bicarbonate to microalgae cultures has not always resulted in favorable outcomes, particularly for freshwater species (Chi et al., 2014). In reality, introducing bicarbonate causes an increase in Na+ ions in the growth medium, which most freshwater organisms cannot tolerate (Chi et al., 2014). A high bicarbonate concentration (160 mM) hindered cell development in C. vulgaris, resulting in the creation of many colonial cells (Li et al., 2018). According to De Farias Silva et al. (2016), an excessive amount of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> caused damage to the PSII complex in Synechococcus sp., which resulted in salt stress and the formation of ROS (reactive oxygen species) in addition to a decrease in photosynthetic efficiency. Data from the current study indicate that bicarbonate treatment of C. ellipsoidea cells did not cause any damage, indicating that the concentrations of up to 1.5g/L used in this study were below the level that was deemed tolerable.

### CONCLUSION

This investigation demonstrated that the growth performance of C. ellipsoidea was enhanced by the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> during the exponential phase, which lasted until the 15<sup>th</sup> day of culture and then began to decline on the 18<sup>th</sup> day. C. ellipsoidea grew considerably (P < 0.05) faster when 1.5g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added to BBM than in the control (without NaHCO<sub>3</sub>); however, further addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> inhibited algal growth. It is possible that the addition of inorganic carbon NaHCO<sub>3</sub> has a beneficial effect on microalgal culture, and that a sufficient supply of carbon sources promote the growth and photosynthetic efficiency of C. ellipsoidea. In creating strategies for eco-sustainable microalgae cultivation, the use of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> should be regarded as a suitable alternative to the use of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> that might contribute to the same CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation processes. In addition, a similar trend was noted in the amount of pigment per cell. A further consideration is that the addition of bicarbonate reduces bacterial growth, indicating bacteriostatic and bactericidal action. All these results suggest that the use of bicarbonate in C. ellipsoidea culture may be an effective substitute for  $CO_2$  insufflation, both for the intensive development of the culture and for the quick production of potentially beneficial compounds.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors are thankful to the Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University for funding the research.

### REFERENCES

- Acièn, F.G.; Gonz'alez, C.V.; Fernandez, J.M. and Molina, E. (2012). Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into biomass by microalgae: How realistic a contribution may it be to significant CO<sub>2</sub> removal? Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 96: 577–586. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4362-z.
- Aishvarya, V.; Pradhan, N.; Nayak, R.R.; Sukla, L.B. and Mishra, B.K. (2012). Enhanced inorganic carbon uptake by *Chlorella* sp. IMMTCC-2 under autotrophic conditions for lipid production and CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. J. Appl. Phycol., 24(6):1455–1463. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-012-9801-9</u>.
- Akter, S.; Shahjahan, M.; Hossain, S. and Rahman, M.S. (2016). Culture of *Chlorella ellipsoidea* in different inexpensive media and used as food for the production of rotifer, *Brachionus calyciflorus*. Iran. J. Fish. Sci., 15(1): 558–566.
- Akter, T.; Haque, M.I.; Das, M. and Hossain, M.A. (2019).Growth performance analysis of *Spirulina platensis* production by substituting K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-K of Kosaric medium with MOP-K. Bangladesh J. Bot., 48(3): 529–535.
- Alam, M.M., Miah, M.I. and Habib, M.A.B. (2003). A study on the feeding responses of a filter-feeding *Cyclops* sp. on various concentrations of *chlorella vulgaris*. Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res., 46(5):354–357.
- Banerjee, T.; Datta, S.; Munikumar, S. and Mahapatra, B.K. (2016). Culture of *Chlorella* sp. through replacement of expensive pure nutritive media with lowcost commercial fertilizers. Environ. Ecol., 34(4A):1430–1434.
- Bernstein, H.C.; Kesaano, M.; Moll, K.; Smith, T.; Gerlach, R.; Carlson, R.P. and Sims, R. C. (2014). Direct measurement and characterization of active photosynthesis zones inside wastewater remediating and biofuel producing microalgal biofilms. Bioresour. Technol., 156:206–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.001.
- Borghini, F.; Colacevich, A.; Bergamino, N.; Micarelli, P.; Dattilo, A.M.; Focardi, S. and Loiselle, S.A. (2009). The microalgae *Tetraselmissuecica* in mesocosms under different light regimes. Chem. Ecol., 25(5): 345–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540903193148.
- Brown, M.R.; Jeffrey, S.W.; Volkman, J.K. and Dunstan, G.A. (1997). Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture. Aquaculture, 151(1-4): 315–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(96)01501-3.
- Chen, H. and Jiang, J.G. (2009). Osmotic responses of *Dunaliella* to the changes of salinity. J. Cell. Physiol., 219(2): 251–258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21715</u>.
- Chi, Z.; Elloy, F.; Xie, Y.; Hu, Y. and Chen, S. (2014). Selection of microalgae and cyanobacteria strains for bicarbonate-based integrated carbon capture and algae production system. Appl.Biochem. Biotechnol., 172(1): 447–457. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-013-0515-5.
- Chi, Z.; O'Fallon, J.V. and Chen, S. (2011). Bicarbonate produced from carbon capture for algae culture. Trends Biotechnol., 29(11): 537–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.06.006.
- Chi, Z.; Xie, Y.; Elloy, F.; Zheng, Y.; Hu, Y. and Chen, S. (2013). Bicarbonate-based integrated carbon capture and algae production system with alkalihalophilic cyanobacterium. Bioresour. Technol., 133: 513–521.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.01.150.

- Chisti, Y. (2013). Constraints to commercialization of algal fuels. J. Biotechnol., 167(3): 201–214. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2013.07.020</u>.
- Choix, F.J.; De-Bashan, L.E. and Bashan, Y. (2012). Enhanced accumulation of starch and total carbohydrates in alginate-immobilized *Chlorella* sp. induced by *Azospirillumbrasilense*: II. Heterotrophic conditions. Enzy. Microb. Technol., 51(5): 300–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2012.07.013.
- Cho, S.H.; Ji, S.C.; Hur, S.B.; Bae, J.; Park, I.S. and Song, Y.C. (2007). Optimum temperature and salinity conditions for growth of green algae *Chlorella ellipsoidea* and *Nannochlorisoculata*. Fish. Sci., 73(5): 1050–1056. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2007.01435.x.
- Clesceri, L.S., Greenberg, A.E., Trussel, R.R. (1989). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (17th ed.). In: American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Works Pollution Control Federation,1015, Washington DC, USA, pp. 10–203.
- Converti, A.; Casazza, A.A.; Ortiz, E.Y.; Perego, P. and Del Borghi, M. (2009). Effect of temperature and nitrogen concentration on the growth and lipid content Nannochloropsis oculata and Chlorella vulgaris for biodiesel of production. Chem. Process., 48(6): 1146-1151. Eng. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2009.03.006.
- De Farias Silva, C.E.; Gris, B.; Sforza, E.; La Rocca, N. and Bertucco, A. (2016). Effects of sodium bicarbonate on biomass and carbohydrate production in *Synechococcus*pcc 7002. Chem. Eng., 49: 241–246. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1649041.
- De Silva, A.Z.; Mohamed, S.; Sanjoy, B. and Fatimah, M. (2018). Growth and quality enhancement of *Chlorella vulgaris* Beyerinck (Beijerinck) 1890 using simple cost-effective medium. Asian Fish. Sci., 31(1), 61–72. https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.2018.31.1.005.
- Fatemeh, L. and Mohsen, D. (2016). Effects of environmental factors on the growth, optical density and biomass of the green algae *Chlorella vulgaris* in outdoor conditions. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manag., 20(1): 133–139. https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v20i1.16.
- Fathi, M.; Meshkini, S. and Nadiri, R. (2013). The effect of extracted salt from Urmia Lake on the growth, βeta-carotene and chlorophyll *a* content of halophilic alga *Chlorella* sp. Turkish J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 13(2): 233–240. https://doi.org/10.4194/1303-2712-v13\_2\_05.
- Gardner, R.D.; Lohman, E.; Gerlach, R.; Cooksey, K.E. and Peyton, B.M. (2013).
   Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> and bicarbonate as inorganic carbon sources for triacylglycerol and starch accumulation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Biotechnol. Bioeng., 110(1): 87–96.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24592.
- Giordano, M.; Beardall, J. and Raven, J.A. (2005). CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanisms in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol., 56: 99–131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144052</u>.
- Guccione, A.; Biondi, N.; Sampietro, G.; Rodolfi, L.; Bassi, N. and Tredici, M.R. (2014). Chlorella for protein and biofuels: from strain selection to outdoor

cultivation in a green wall panel photobioreactor. Biotech. Biofuels., 7(1): 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-7-84.

- Guihéneuf, F.; Mimouni, V.; Ulmann, L. and Tremblin, G. (2008). Environmental factors affecting growth and omega 3 fatty acid composition in *Skeletonema costatum*. The influences of irradiance and carbon source. Diatom Res., 23(1): 93–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0269249X.2008.9705739</u>.
- Hortensteiner, S. (2006). Chlorophyll degradation during senescence. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 57: 55–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105212</u>.
- Hsueh, H.T.; Chu, H. and Yu, S.T. (2007). A batch study on the bio-fixation of carbon dioxide in the absorbed solution from a chemical wet scrubber by hot spring and marine algae. Chemos., 66(5): 878–886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.06.022.
- Ilavarasi, A.; Mubarakali, D.; Praveenkumar, R.; Baldev, E. and Thajuddin, N. (2011). Optimization of various growth media to freshwater microalgae for biomass production. Biotechnol., 10(6): 540–545. https://doi.org/10.3923/biotech.2011.540.545.
- Jayasankar, R. and Valsala, K.K. (2008). Influence of different concentrations of sodium bicarbonate on growth rate and chlorophyll content of *Chlorella salina*. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. India., 50(1): 74–78.
- Karmaker, P.K.; Shahjahan, M.; Miah, M.I. and Habib, M.A.B. (2001). Culture of microalgae (*Chlorella ellipsoidea*) in various concentrations of ripe and unripe bean seed powder media. Bangladesh J. of Fish., 24(1-2): 93–99.
- Khoeyi, Z.A.; Seyfabadi, J. and Ramezanpour, Z. (2012). Effect of light intensity and photoperiod on biomass and fatty acid composition of the microalgae, *Chlorella vulgaris*. Aquac. Int., 20(1), 41–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-011-9440-1</u>.
- Kim, J., Lee, J.Y.; Ahting, C.; Johnstone, R. and Lu, T. (2014). Growth of *Chlorella vulgaris* using sodium bicarbonate under no mixing condition. Asia- Pacific J. Chem. Eng., 9(4): 604–609. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/apj.1789</u>.
- Knefelkamp, B.; Carstens, K.; and Wiltshire, K.H. (2007). Comparison of different filter types on chlorophyll-a retention and nutrient measurements. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 345, 61–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.01.008</u>.
- Li, J.; Li, C.; Lan, C.Q. and Liao, D. (2018). Effects of sodium bicarbonate on cell growth, lipid accumulation, and morphology of *Chlorella vulgaris*. Microb. Cell Fact., 17(1): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-018-0953-4.
- Mandal, S. and Mallick, N. (2009). Microalga Scenedesmus obliquus as a potential source for biodiesel production. Appl. Microbiol. And Biotechnol., 84(2): 281– 291. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-1935-6</u>.
- Markou, G.; Vandamme, D. and Muylaert, K. (2014). Microalgal and cyanobacterial cultivation: The supply of nutrients. Water Res., 65: 186–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.07.025.
- Miao, X. and Wu, Q. (2006). Biodiesel production from heterotrophic microalgal oil. Bioresour. Tech., 97(6): 841–846. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.04.008.
- Mokashi, K.; Shetty, V.; George, S.A. and Sibi, G. (2016). Sodium bicarbonate as inorganic carbon source for higher biomass and lipid production integrated carbon capture in *Chlorella vulgaris*. Achievem. Life Sci., 10(1): 111–117.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.als.2016.05.011.

- Mondal, B.; Rahman, M.R.; Alam, M.J.;Tarafder, A.R.; Habib, M.A.B. and Khaleque, M.A. (2005). A Study on the Culture of *Chlorella ellipsoidea* in Various Concentrations of Unripe Tomato Juice Media. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 8(6): 823–828. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2005.823.828</u>.
- Nunez, M. and Quigg, A. (2016). Changes in growth and composition of the marine microalgae *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Nannochloropsis salina* in response to changing sodium bicarbonate concentrations. J. of Appl. Phycol., 28(4): 2123– 2138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-015-0746-7.
- Pal, D., Khozin-Goldberg, I., Cohen, Z., Boussiba, S. (2011). The effect of light, salinity, and nitrogen availability on lipid production by *Nannochloropsis* sp. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 90, 1429–1441. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3170-1</u>.
- Peng, X.; Liu, S.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, Y.; Chen, L.; Wang, H. and Liu, T. (2014). Triacylglycerol accumulation of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* with different supply of inorganic carbon. J. Appl. Phycol., 26(1): 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-013-0075-7.
- Rahman, M.S.; Hossain, M.A.; Fatema, S. and Hossain, M.A. (2005). Culture of green algae *Chlorella ellipsoidea* in inexpensive media. Bangladesh J. Fish. Res., 9(2): 185–190.
- Salbitani, G., Bolinesi F., Affuso, M., Carraturo, F., Mangoni, O. and Carfagna, S. (2020). Rapid and positive effect of bicarbonate addition on growth and photosynthetic efficiency of the green microalgae *Chlorella Sorokiniana* (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiophyceae) Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 4515; <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/app10134515">https://doi.org/10.3390/app10134515</a>.
- Sampathkumar, S.J. and Gothandam, K.M. (2019). Sodium bicarbonate augmentation enhances lutein biosynthesis in green microalgae *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. Biocatal. and Agri. Biotechnol., 22: 101406. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101406</u>.
- Sanchez- Saavedra, M.P.; Jiménez, C. and Figueroa, F.L. (1996). Far- red light inhibits growth but promotes carotenoid accumulation in the green microalga *Dunaliella bardawil*. Physiol. Plant., 98(2), 419–423. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-3054.1996.980226.x.
- Shu, C.H.; Tsai, C.C.; Liao, W.H.; Chen, K.Y. and Huang, H.C. (2012). Effects of light quality on the accumulation of oil in a mixed culture of *Chlorella* sp. and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 87(5): 601–607. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.2750.
- Srinivasan, R.; Mageswari, A.; Subramanian, P.; Suganthi, C.; Chaitanyakumar, A.; Aswini, V. and Gothandam, K.M. (2018). Bicarbonate supplementation enhances growth and biochemical composition of *Dunaliella salina* V-101 by reducing oxidative stress induced during macronutrient deficit conditions. Sci. Rep., 8(1): 1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25417-5</u>.
- Toyub, M.A.; Ahmed, S.R.; Miah, M.I. and Habib, M.A.B. (2007). Growth performance and nutritional value of *Chlorella ellipsoidea* in fertilizer factory effluent media. Asian Fish. Sci., 20(1/2): 65. <u>https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.</u> 2007.20.1.006.

- Vadlamani, A.; Pendyala, B.; Viamajala, S. and Varanasi, S. (2018). High productivity cultivation of microalgae without concentrated CO<sub>2</sub> input. ACS Sustain. Chem. Engi., 7(2): 1933–1943. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.</u> 8b04094.
- White, D.A.; Pagarette, A.; Rooks, P. and Ali, S.T. (2013). The effect of sodium bicarbonate supplementation on growth and biochemical composition of marine microalgae cultures. J. Appl. Phycol., 25(1): 153–165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10811-012-9849-6</u>.
- Wiltshire, K.H.; Harsdorf, S.; Smidt, B.; Blocker, G.; Reuter, R. and Schroeder, F. (1998). The determination of algal biomass (as chlorophyll) in suspended matter from the Elbe estuary and the German Bight: a comparison of high-performance liquid chromatography, delayed fluorescence and prompt fluorescence methods. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 222, 113–131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(97)</u> 00141-X.
- Woodworth, B.D.; Mead, R.L.; Nichols, C.N. and Kolling, D.R. (2015). Photosynthetic light reactions increase total lipid accumulation in carbonsupplemented batch cultures of *Chlorella vulgaris*. Bioresour. Technol., 179: 159–164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.11.098</u>.
- Xin, L.; Hong-Ying, H.; Ke, G. and Ying-Xue, S. (2010). Effects of different nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations on the growth, nutrient uptake, and lipid accumulation of a freshwater microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. Bioresour. Technol., 101(14): 5494–5500. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.016</u>.
- Yadala, S. and Cremaschi, S. (2014). Design and optimization of artificial cultivation units for algae production. Energy. 78: 23–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.</u> 2014.06.001.
- Yeh, K.L.; Chang, J.S. and Chen, W.M. (2010). Effect of light supply and carbon source on cell growth and cellular composition of a newly isolated microalga *Chlorella vulgaris* ESP- 31. Eng. Life Sci., 10(3): 201–208. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.200900116</u>.