Effect of *Aeromonas sobria* infection on gills and skin histopathology of the Nile tilapia reared under biofloc and clear water systems
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**ABSTRACT**

This study aimed to investigate the effect of *A. sobria* infection on the gills and skin histopathology of the Nile tilapia reared in biofloc technology (BFT) compared to a clear water system (CWS). The Nile tilapia fish were divided into four groups: two groups in BFT (control group without infection (BFTC) and *A. sobria* infected group (BFTT)) and the other two in CWS (control group without infection (CWSC) and *A. sobria* infected group (CWST)). The infection was done by I/P (intraperitoneal) injection of 0.1 ml of 1.5×10⁸ CFU/ml of *A. sobria*. The gills histopathology of CWST group showed moderate to severe histopathological alterations; congestion of lamellar blood vessels, mononuclear and eosinophilic leukocytic infiltration, and sloughing of lamellar epithelium; while it only showed mild epithelial hyperplasia in the BFTT group. Skin hyperemia and tail rot were observed grossly without any histopathological alterations in the skin of both BFTT and CWST groups. Total heterotrophic bacterial count in the culture water was higher in BFTC group, nevertheless, its count in fish gut was higher in CWSC group. In both BFTT and CWST groups, after infection, a decrease was detected in the total heterotrophic bacterial count in the culture water and fish gut, while it was still higher in BFTT group. At the early stage of *A. sobria* infection, the gills were severely affected, and its injury may not be observed, paving the way for other diseases. Meanwhile, the skin may show hyperemia grossly without any histopathological alterations. Results of the current study showed that the biofloc system proved its capacity of protecting the gills of the Nile tilapia against *A. sobria* infection.

**INTRODUCTION**

The aquaculture intensification and expansion are required due to the increasing demand on sea food (Mastan, 2015). The aquaculture intensification may cause water quality deterioration, which, in turn, may increase disease spread, leading to economic...
losses (Bondad-Reantaso et al., 2005). This aquaculture intensification can be done through many systems as clear water system (RAS) and biofloc system (BFT). BFT is a highly sustainable system as biofloc bacteria can maintain water quality by conversion of nitrogenous wastes into nitrate via nitrification process or its assimilation (Avnimelech, 2012; El-Shafey et al., 2018; Mabroke et al., 2019). In addition, bioflocs act as an additional source of nutrition for reared organisms, among which is the Nile tilapia and help in decreasing feed conversion rates (Azim & Little, 2008; Hargreaves, 2013).

The Nile tilapia shares with 8.3% of the finfish aquaculture production, occupying the third place after grass and silver carps with respect to the most cultivable species (FAO, 2020). The Nile tilapia is considered an ideal fish candidate for BFT due to its grazing, filter-feeding and detritivory habits aligned with its capacity to resist poor water quality and high concentration of solids in water (Avnimelech, 2009; Hargreaves, 2013).

Bacterial infection is more prevalent in coastal and inland aquaculture facilities than in open seas (World Health Organization, 1999), particularly Streptococcus spp. and Aeromonas spp. They are the main cause of bacterial diseases affecting the tilapia and can cause large economic losses, especially in overwintering stage of the tilapia production (Li & Cai, 2011). Thus, maximizing disease resistance and culture performance is the main challenge facing aquaculture (Trust, 1986).

Aeromonas spp. are gram negative, motile and short rods that are normal inhabitant of fish gut and aquatic environment, and under abnormal conditions, it can cause disease and mortality within 1 week of infection (Monfort & Baleux, 1990; Yu et al., 2010). Diseased fish is recognized with skin lesions, fin erosions, eye infection, and hemorrhagic septicemia (Noga, 2010). Motile Aeromonas Septicemia (MAS) is caused by motile aeromonads, which are biochemically differentiated isolates, including Aeromonas hydrophila, Aeromonas caviae and A. sobria (Toranzo et al., 1989; Austin et al., 2007). Moustafa et al. (2020) mentioned that, Aeromonas infection is the most serious obstacle that prevents the global expansion of the Nile tilapia industry.

A. sobria is a common bacterial water inhabitant that can produce an acute or chronic infection to the tilapia via its virulence factors such as enterotoxins, hemolysin and others (Kozińska, 2007; Ibrahim et al., 2021). A. sobria can cause hemorrhagic septicemia all over the year, particularly during poor water quality, less water change, high stocking density, overwintering and unstable temperature (Cai et al., 2004). Li and Cai, (2011) found that A. sobria can cause tail rot disease for the juvenile tilapia. Dar et al. (2016) found that A. sobria was associated with skin and gills lesions in silver carp.

It is overall accepted that prevention of infectious diseases is a more preferred strategy compared to disease treatment because of the high cost and the increased regulation on the use of chemicals for safety issues (Ajadi et al., 2016). Misuse of antibiotics may lead to antibiotic resistant bacterial strains and antibiotics residues in fish meat (Weston, 1996; Cabello, 2006). Consequently, there is an urgent need to use
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antibiotic replacers as prebiotics, probiotics, symbiotics, and other microbial based biocontrol agents (*Ajadi et al.*, 2016; *El-Naggar et al.*, 2021). BFT has a probiotic effect because of its competitive exclusion for pathogenic microbes and may also enhance the activity of digestive enzymes in fish (*Emerenciano et al.*, 2013; *Kim et al.*, 2014). *Robles-Porchas et al.* (2020) mentioned that BFT has a good effect on the antioxidant capacity and the immunity of fish.

There is no available data about the protective capacity of BFT heterotrophic bacteria against *A. sobria* challenge in the Nile tilapia. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of *A. sobria* challenge on gills and skin histopathology of the Nile tilapia reared under biofloc system conditions compared to clear water system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical statement**

The experimental protocol and ethics were done following the recommendations of the institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, and was approved by the faculty ethics committee (Approval No. Vet CU 12/10/2021/354).

**Experimental conditions and location**

Around 132 Nile tilapia fish (85.6 ± 0.29 grams as mean ± SE) were transported from a farm located in kafr el-Sheikh to fish nutrition laboratory at The Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo university. Fish were put in 3 m$^3$ tank with salinity 2 ppt. After 2 weeks, these fish were distributed randomly into twelve 55-L plastic tanks. These tanks were previously disinfected by chlorine and potassium permanganate. The fish were acclimated in these tanks for 1 month before infection, and during this period, water quality was weekly monitored.

**Experimental design**

Nile tilapia fish were divided into four groups: two groups in BFT (control group without infection (BFTC) and *A. sobria* infected group (BFTT)) and the other two in CWS (control group without infection (CWSC) and *A. sobria* infected group (CWST)). The biofloc system was constructed by an addition of 20 liters from mature biofloc tank in each tank as biofloc inoculum. The corn starch, forming a carbon source, was added to the biofloc groups according to *Samocha* (2019) and no water was exchanged; just compensation of water evaporation. While, in CWS groups, water was exchanged twice/week by 100%. The fish were fed at a daily ration of 1% of its body weight. After *A. sobria* infection, no feed was introduced to fish.

**Aeromonas sobria infection**

*A. sobria* strain was obtained from AHRI (Animal Health Research Institute, Department of Microbiology), confirmed by plating into MacConkey agar (Hi media, India) according to *Dong et al.* (2015), gram staining and biochemical identification
(oxidase, catalase, urease, citrate, indole, and TSI). Finally, this strain was confirmed by Vitek-2 compact (bioMérieux, France) using gram negative identification card (Elbehiry et al., 2019). Two fish per tank were collected to confirm freedom of A. sobria by direct streaking from kidney, liver, spleen, and gills on MacConkey agar (Hi media, India), and using of gram staining and biochemical testing (oxidase, catalase, citrate, urease, and TSI). After the acclimation period, the infection was done by i/P injection of both BFTT and CWST groups by 0.1 ml of 1.5×10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml of A. sobria strain according to Reda et al. (2016), while both BFTC and CWSC groups were i/P injected by 0.1 ml saline. The infection was confirmed by re-isolation of A. sobria from internal organs, especially kidneys, using MacConkey agar (Hi media, India) and confirmed by gram staining and biochemical tests (oxidase, catalase, citrate, urease and TSI). The results of biochemical tests were interpreted according to Abbott et al. (2003).

**Total heterotrophic bacterial count**

Fifteen ml of culture water were collected from each tank, and five cm length of both anterior and posterior gut were collected into 5 ml saline. Total bacterial count was done by pooling water/gut samples together for the same group before and after infection. Ten-fold serial dilution was performed for each pooled sample and 100 µl of each dilution (10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup>) was inoculated on plate count agar (Hi media, India) by spreading method into duplicates and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours according to Ferreira et al. (2017) and Stahlke et al. (2018). The plates ranged from (30-300) colonies and were counted manually according to Sutton Scott (2011).

**Histopathology**

The specimens (skin and gills) were collected, fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (10%NBF), washed, dehydrated, cleared, and embedded in paraffin blocks. Then, they were sectioned (4 µm thickness) using microtome (Leica, Germany) for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining (Bancroft & Gamble, 2008). The stained slides were viewed using the light microscope (LEICA DM500) under x200 & x400 magnification, then images were captured with (LEICA ICC50 HD) a camera attached to the microscope and finally examined and analyzed by image analysis software (Leica microsystems (LAS version 3.8.0 [ build:878 ] Leica Ltd) image analyzer computer system. The histopathological alterations were scored and graded, where (<30%) showed mild, (30:50 %) showed moderate, while (>50%) indicated severe alterations.

**Water quality measurement**

Physicochemical water quality parameters of both BFTC and CWSC groups were monitored every week at three sampling dates during the acclimation period as follows: dissolved oxygen and water temperature were measured using SensoDirect Oxi 200 device, and the pH was detected using Milwaukee-PH600 Digital pH meter tester pocket Pen. Total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) values and nitrite (NO2-N) were determined using water analysis photometer (MultiDirect Lovibond). Alkalinity was monitored by titration against sulfuric acid till pH point of 4.5 (APHA, 1998). Floc level was determined by
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Sedimentation of flocs on the bottom of Imhoff cones for 15 minutes (Avnimelech, 2009). Total suspended solids (TSS) were measured by water analysis photometer (MultiDirect Lovibond), whereas the salinity was assessed using digital salinometer (Adwa AD32 EC/TDS).

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis of water quality results of both BFTC and CWSC groups was achieved using independent samples *t*-test via SPSS (version,28), and the results were recorded as significant when *P. value* was less than 0.05. The other results were reported as mean ± SE using SPSS (version,28).

**RESULTS**

*Aeromonas sobria* infection

Characters of *Aeromonas sobria* are shown in Fig. (1). On MacConkey agar (Hi media, India), *A. sobria* produced non-lactose fermenter colonies, and gram’s stain showed gram negative short rods. Biochemically, this strain was K/A (Alkaline/Acid) on TSI, positive for oxidase, catalase, citrate, and indole tests and negative for urease test. Finally, the result of Vitek-2 compact confirmed the strain as *A. sobria* with excellent identification. All randomly selected fish were found free from *A. sobria* because the cultural and biochemical characters of isolated colonies didn't meet the characters of *A. sobria*. After infection, some fish showed skin hyperemia, congested and necrotic gills and tail rot as shown in Fig. (2).

![Fig. 1. Characters of *A. sobria***](image)

(a) Gram negative short rods stained by gram’s stain. (b) Non-lactose fermenter colonies (arrow) on MacConkey agar. (c) TSI: K/A. (d) Citrate: +ve. (e) Urease –ve. (f) Indole: +ve.
Fig. 2. *A. sobria* infected Nile tilapia showing: 1. Skin hyperemia (a) and tail rot (b). 2. tail rot (b). 3. Congested and necrotic gills (c).

**Histopathology**

Histopathological assessment of skin and gills are shown in Figs. (3,4, and Table 1). No skin histopathological alterations were noticed in the infected groups compared to the control groups. Normal histological appearance of gills was found in the control groups (BFTC and CWSC). On the other hand, gills of fish infected by *A. sobria* under clear water conditions showed congestion of lamellar blood vessels, mononuclear and eosinophilic leukocytic infiltration, and sloughing of lamellar epithelium. The severity of these histological alterations was less in fish infected by *A. sobria* under biofloc conditions, and merely showing epithelial hyperplasia.

Fig. 3. Photomicrographs of fish skin showing no histopathological alterations in all groups (H&E, skin; 4X, scale bar 200 mm)
Effect of *Aeromonas sobria* on gills and skin of the Nile tilapia under biofloc condition

**Fig. 4.** Photomicrographs of fish gills showing epithelial hyperplasia (a) in BFTT group. Mononuclear and eosinophilic infiltration (b), Congestion of lamellar blood vessels (c), and sloughing of lamellar epithelium (d) in CWST group (H&E, gills; 40X, scale bar).

**Table 1.** Histological assessment of gills in all treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histopathological alterations</th>
<th>BFTC</th>
<th>BFTT</th>
<th>CWSC</th>
<th>CWST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congestion of lamellar blood vessels</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mononuclear and eosinophilic infiltration</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughing of lamellar epithelium</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial hyperplasia</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lesion score: 0 NIL; 1 Mild; 2 Moderate; 3 Severe. BFTC: control biofloc group; BFTT: *A. sobria* infected biofloc group; CWSC: control CWS group; CWST: *A. sobria* infected biofloc group.

**Total heterotrophic bacterial count**

The results of the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and fish gut are presented in Table (2). The total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water was higher in BFTC group. While, the total heterotrophic bacterial count in fish gut was higher in CWSC group. After infection, the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and fish gut decreased in both BFTT and CWST groups, but it was still higher in BFTT group.
Table 2. The results of total heterotrophic bacterial count in all treatments (values are mean±SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>BFTC</th>
<th>BFTT</th>
<th>CWSC</th>
<th>CWST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water × 10^5 (CFU/ml)</td>
<td>158 ± 88</td>
<td>18.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.243 ± 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total heterotrophic bacterial count in fish gut × 10^6 (CFU/ml)</td>
<td>283 ± 32</td>
<td>16.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>295 ± 25</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BFTC: control biofloc group; BFTT: A. sobria infected biofloc group; CWSC: control CWS group; CWST: A. sobria infected biofloc group.

Water quality

The results of water quality under control biofloc and clear water conditions are presented in Table (3). Those results didn’t show significant difference in water temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, or ammonia level between BFTC group and CWSC group. Significant increase of nitrite level was recorded in BFTC group compared to CWSC group. On the other hand, significant decreases of pH and alkalinity were recorded in BFTC group compared to CWSC group. The TSS and floc level in BFTC group were 117.05 ± 14.67 mg/l and 6.48 ± 1.01 mg/l, respectively.

Table 3. The values of water quality parameters monitored during acclimation period in 3 sampling dates in both BFTC and CWSC groups (values are mean±SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water quality parameter</th>
<th>BFTC</th>
<th>CWSC</th>
<th>P. value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water temperature (°C)</td>
<td>27.66 ± 0.19</td>
<td>27.79 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (ppt)</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.62 ± 0.03</td>
<td>8.78 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (mg CaCO₃/l)</td>
<td>301.01 ± 15.32</td>
<td>331.62 ± 6.73</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floc level (mg/l)</td>
<td>6.48 ± 1.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg/l)</td>
<td>117.05 ± 14.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were analyzed by independent sample t-test to compare between 2 groups (*p<0.05; **p≤0.001). BFTC: control biofloc group; CWSC: control CWS group. TSS: total suspended solids.

DISCUSSION

A few studies investigated the infectious disease resistance of fish reared under biofloc system and the results were very promising (Ekasari et al. 2014; Ahmed et al. 2016). Bakhshi et al. (2018) reported that biofloc system can increase disease resistance of carp fingerlings against Aeromonas hydrophila due to its positive effects on immune response, antioxidant capacity, and hematological parameters.
Histopathology is commonly used to investigate pathological changes caused by different chemicals or microbial pathogens as biomarkers (Camargo & Martinez 2007; Forouhar Vajargah et al. 2018). Jia et al. (2017) reported that normal gills is formed of rows of filaments based on cartilaginous arch. In the present study, normal gills in both BFTC and CWSC groups were noticed. Morrison et al. (2007) reported that normal skin is consisted of two layers; an outer one (epidermis), which is formed of outer squamous and cuboidal cells as well as inner basal germinal cells, and an inner one (dermis), which is formed of an outer layer of stratum spongiosum (cellular layer) followed by stratum compactum (non-cellular layer). In the present study, normal skin tissue in both BFTC and CWSC groups were observed. The results of the present study are in agreement with those of Najdegerami et al. (2017), Romano et al. (2018) and Haghparast et al. (2020) who reported that the BFT system did not harm skin, gills, or internal organs of fish. Moreover, the mild histopathological changes in gills (epithelial hyperplasia) were noticed in BFTC group. This finding agrees with that in the study of Angeles-Escobar et al. (2021) who reported that, gill epithelium may show mild to severe hyperplasia, lamellar congestion and partial or complete lamellar fusion in red pacu fish reared in biofloc system due to excessive suspended solids.

The current histopathological examination revealed that, the Nile tilapia gills in BFTT group showed mild histopathological alterations (epithelial hyperplasia). Whereas, the Nile tilapia gills in CWST group showed moderate to severe histopathological alterations (congestion of lamellar blood vessels, mononuclear and eosinophilic leukocytic infiltration, and sloughing of lamellar epithelium). This result concurs with that of El Deen et al. (2014) who reported similar histopathological alterations in the gills of the Nile tilapia infected by Aeromonas spp. Similarly, Dar et al. (2016) reported that A. sobria can cause lamellar hyperplasia, degenerative changes, and acute hemorrhages of gill epithelium in silver carp. Thus, it can be concluded that, the biofloc system can protect the gills of the Nile tilapia against severe histopathological changes caused by A. sobria. The present results coincide with those of Menaga et al. (2019) who noted that, the BFT showed mild pathological alterations on gut, kidney and liver in comparison with the control group, when the tilapia were infected by Aeromonas hydrophila. Sakai (1999) and Abraham et al. (2007) attributed the protective capacity of biofloc system to immunostimulatory compounds produced by biofloc heterotrophic bacteria. Infected groups showed skin hyperemia, while histopathological examination of skin revealed normal skin histology. This result indicates that skin may show hyperemia grossly without any histopathological alterations. The current finding agrees with that of Yildirim et al. (2006) who reported that, no significant histopathological alterations were noticed in the skin of the tilapia exposed to deltamethrin toxicity, while skin hemorrhage was observed grossly. This result disagrees with the finding of El Deen et al. (2014) who reported that, Aeromonas hydrophila could cause skin ulcers for the Nile tilapia, suggesting that A. hydrophila is more virulent than A. sobria. The gills are severely
affected in the early stage of *A. sobria* infection, and its injury may not be observed, paving the way for other diseases. Infected fish showed tail rot in both BFTT and CWST groups. This observation matches with that of Li and Cai (2011) who noticed that, *A. sobria* was associated with tail rot disease in juvenile Nile tilapia.

In the present study, the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water was higher in BFTC group. This result is in agreement with the finding of Haghparast et al. (2020) and Kishawy et al. (2020) who reported that, the total bacterial count of water in biofloc system was higher compared to the control group (CWS). It is assumed that, the microbial richness in culture water of BFT is higher compared to that of the CWS; an assumption of which may explain the probiotic capacity of the BFT system. The total heterotrophic bacterial count in fish gut, in both BFTC and CWSC groups, were $283 \pm 32 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml and $295 \pm 25 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml, respectively. This result agrees with that of Kim et al. (2007) who noticed that, the total bacterial count in fish gut ranged from $10^6$ to $10^8$ CFU/gm. The total heterotrophic bacterial count in fish gut was higher in CWSC group, which indicates that fish gut in CWS can maintain its bacterial population despite of the low bacterial count in culture water. Conversely, Kishawy et al. (2020) reported that, the intestinal bacterial count in the Nile tilapia was higher in BFT than CWS. Total heterotrophic bacterial count in fish gut in both BFT and CWS groups was higher than its count in culture water. This result disagrees with Pérez-Fuentes et al. (2018) who reported that, water bacterial load was higher than that isolated from gut contents in biofloc system. No previous studies investigated the effect of *A. sobria* infection on the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions. The present study reported that the total heterotrophic bacterial count in culture water and the gut of the Nile tilapia reared under BFT and CWS conditions.
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difference was reported in water temperature, salinity, or dissolved oxygen between BFTC group and CWSC group. Contrarily, Fleckenstein *et al.* (2018) reported that the dissolved oxygen was significantly lower in BFT than in CWS due to the microbial oxygen consumption in BFT. The pH was significantly lower in BFTC group than in CWSC group. Boyd and Tucker (2014) related finding to the high oxygen consumption of biofloc microbes and CO2 release, causing lower pH levels via the production of carbonic acid. All measured ammonia levels (TAN\(^{-1}\)) were found within the normal ranges for fish rearing (less than 1 mg/l) according to Chen (1988). No significant difference was reported in ammonia level between BFT C group and CWS C group. This result disagrees with those of Ray *et al.* (2017) and Fleckenstein *et al.* (2018) who reported that the ammonia level was significantly higher in CWS than BFT. Nitrite levels (NO2-N) were reported within the normal range for the Nile tilapia farming (less than 1 mg/l) according to Emerenciano *et al.* (2017). Nitrite nitrogen level was significantly higher in BFTC group compared to the CWSC group. This result agrees with the studies of Azim and Little (2008), Ray *et al.* (2011) and Ray *et al.* (2017) who reported that, the high nitrite level is the most common problem associated with BFT. Hargreaves (1998) attributed the afore-mentioned observation to the fact that ammonia conversion rate is done in a faster manner in biofloc than CWS via BFT heterotrophic bacteria. Alkalinity levels in both BFTC and CWSC groups were (301.01 ± 15.32 mg CaCO\(_3\)/l) and (331.62 ± 6.73 mg CaCO\(_3\)/l), respectively. According to Lawson (1995), the normal range for fresh-water culture system is (5 to 500 mg/l). Increased alkalinity levels aid nitrogen uptake by heterotrophs and the nitrification process by chemoautotrophs (Emerenciano *et al.*, 2017).

The total suspended solids level in BFTC group was (117.05 ± 14.67 mg/l). For normal TSS range, Hargreaves (2013) recommended (200 to 500 mg/l) to minimize the risk of parasite infestation and gill obstruction. Floc level in BFTC group was (6.48 ± 1.01 ml/l). According to Emerenciano *et al.* (2017), the ideal floc level for the Nile tilapia rearing is (5 to 20 ml/l) noting that the higher levels may increase oxygen consumption by BFT bacteria and may cause gill obstruction.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of the present study proved that the biofloc system has a strong capacity to protect gills of the Nile tilapia against *A. sobria* infection. Additionally, in the early stage of *A. sobria* infection, the gills are severely affected, and its injury may not be observed, paving the way for other diseases. Furthermore, the skin may show hyperemia grossly without any histopathological alterations. After infection, biofloc culture water and fish gut are richer in heterotrophic bacterial population indicating its capacity to compete *A. sobria* infection. Further investigation to demonstrate the changes of microbial community structure of BFT against *A. sobria* is needed.
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