Genotypic Detection of Fish-Borne Zoonotic Trematodes Using the Hotshot DNA Extraction Method
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INTRODUCTION

Parasites are responsible for significant harmful effects on hosts which may end with death in addition to the economic losses (Borgsteede, 1996). Food-borne parasitic infestation has been recognized as an important public health problem. For instance, in Egypt, freshwater fishes are considered an important source of parasitic infestation to humans and fish-eating mammals especially with the pollution problem of the rivers and lakes (Mohamed, 1996). One of the emerging infectious diseases is fish-borne zoonotic trematodes. Fish-borne zoonotic trematodes are divided into two groups, the small liver
flukes, and the minute intestinal flukes. Fifty-nine trematode species are zoonotic to humans, infecting more than 18 million people worldwide (WHO, 1995; Keiser & Utzinger, 2009; Fadel et al., 2019).

Fish are considered not only a final host but also an intermediate host for the larval stages of many parasites such as the encysted metacercariae of different species of trematodes (Abou-Eisha et al., 2008). The diagnosis of trematode parasites depends on morphological and molecular identification. Morphological identification requires experience and special sample preservation and treatment. Whereas, molecular identification provides an alternative to overcome the limitations of morphological identification (Abouelhassan et al., 2019).

Although molecular identification is more specific, rapid, and sensitive, it is difficult to obtain sufficient and PCR-grade DNA from some parasites such as minute helminths or their larval stages (Gasser, 1993). In the current methods, some of the DNA may be lost during the extraction. In addition, the lack of genetic information or genome sequences of many species of parasites limits the study of such parasites at the molecular level (Geracia et al., 2007).

Therefore, the objectives of this study were determined to assess the efficiency of a Hotshot DNA extraction technique for minute single worms and their larval stages to overcome the limitations of other DNA extraction methods, improve the yield of DNA for PCR, and investigate whether DNA extracted by this method is of sufficient quality for PCR and sequencing or not.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. **Sample collection**

   A total of 68 parasite samples were examined. The samples included 29 encysted metacercariae from the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, collected from the Nile tributaries in Ismailia governorate from September 2017 to April 2018, in addition to 39 adult trematodes collected from rats after being experimentally infected with encysted metacercariae. Fish samples were collected, placed in a container with aerated water, and immediately transported to the lab for examination.

   **Detection of encysted metacercariae**

   Gills, musculature, and internal organs of fish were grossly examined to detect any abnormalities using a magnifying hand lens (Mahdy et al., 1995). Microscopically, a few drops of saline solution (0.9 % NaCl) were added to a small piece from each grossly examined part, and then tissue samples were compressed between two glass slides and examined for the presence of encysted metacercariae (Morishita et al., 1965; Schaperclaus et al., 1992; Fadel et al., 2019). The detected encysted metacercariae were individually removed and randomly divided into three groups as follows: the first group was utilized in the experimental infection of rats while the second and the third groups were preserved in absolute ethanol until DNA extraction with the two methods (Table 1).

   **Experimental infection:**

   Ten three weeks-old rats were divided into two groups (5 in each group). The feces of rats were examined before the experimental infection to ensure that they were parasite free. The first group was fed on encysted metacercariae isolated from *Clarias gariepinus*, while the second group was the negative control. Fecal samples from the two groups were
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inspected daily for the presence of eggs by the direct examination technique. Infected animals were sacrificed, and the contents of the small intestine were evacuated and examined under a stereomicroscope for the presence of any trematodes. The detected trematodes were collected in normal saline and randomly divided into two groups; each containing the same species of trematode helminths. One group was used for parasitological examination, while the second group was preserved in absolute ethanol until DNA extraction.

2. Parasitological examination
   Morphological identification of adult worms
   The collected worms were washed in saline, fixed in cold AFA (alcohol-formalin-acetic acid) (Georgi & Georgi, 1992), stained with alum carmine, dehydrated, and then cleaned in xylene, mounted in Canada balsam (Abou Zaid et al., 2018), then examined and identified microscopically as adult trematodes.

3. Molecular identification
   DNA Extraction
   Following morphological identification, the DNA of trematodes and encysted metacercariae was extracted with the two methods; the Hotshot and the kit-based methods. Each sample contained either a single worm or single metacercaria (Table 1).

   The kit-based DNA Extraction
   DNA was extracted from the samples using the Genomic DNA Purification kit (Applied Biotechnology Co. Ltd, Egypt) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, the samples were incubated for 20 minutes at 65°C in 200 µl of Nuclei Lysis Solution, plus 40 µl of a 20-mg/ml Proteinase K solution. The tubes were then centrifuged at 10,000×g to eliminate debris. Supernatants were collected and 100 µl of isopropanol were added to each sample and mixed. The mixture was run through filter columns at 13,000×g for 1 minute. DNA, bound to the filter, was washed and eluted in 50 µl TE buffer.

   The modified Hotshot method
   DNA was extracted from the samples using the modified Hotshot method following the steps of Meeker et al. (2018). The Hotshot method was slightly modified to account for the differences in sample types used in the current study. Briefly, single worms or single metacercariae were placed into 0.2 ml PCR tubes containing 20-25 µl of 50 mM NaOH so that the worm would completely be submerged in the solution. The samples were then heated to 95°C in a thermal cycler for 10-20 min until the tissue was friable. The tubes were cooled to 4°C before adding 1/10th volume of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) to neutralize the basic solution. The samples were centrifuged to pellet the debris, and the supernatant was immediately used for PCR.

   The concentration and purity of the extracted DNA by the two methods were measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer and stored at -20°C until use.

   Amplification of the ITS-2 gene
   Five µl of the DNA solution were used per 50 µl PCR reaction. The PCR was conducted according to Arya et al. (2016). Primers targeting the ITS-2 region were used: AP102 F 5’ AGAGCGCAGCCAACTGTGTGA 3’ and AP102 R 5’ TGCCACGTCTAGCAGCC 3’. The PCR was performed in a thermal cycler (Techne, UK). The amplification of a 369-bp product of the ITS-2 region was achieved at the following conditions: initial denaturation for 5 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of
denaturation for 30 s at 94°C, annealing for 38 s at 57°C, and extension at 72°C for 42 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. After amplification, 8 microliters of the PCR products were loaded in 1.5% agarose gel prestained with ethidium bromide, electrophoresed for 45 minutes, and visualized by a UV transilluminator.

**Sequencing and sequence analysis**

The amplified PCR products showed single clear bands on the gel. Bands were excised from the gel, purified, and sent for sequencing. Sanger sequencing was performed by SolgentCo. Ltd (South Korea). DNA sequence files were visualized and checked for quality in Chromas 2.6.6 (Technelysium Pty Ltd, Queensland, Australia). Sequences were then analyzed using BLAST® (*Johnson et al., 2008*).

**4. Phylogenetic analysis**

Phylogenetic analysis was performed based on the ITS-2 sequences from several closely related fish trematode species. A phylogenetic tree was constructed in MEGAX (*Kumar et al., 2018*) using the maximum-likelihood method. In addition, Tamura-Nei model (*Tamura and Nei, 1993*) was performed, and 1000 bootstrap replicates were organized. The tree was rooted on the midpoint.

**5. Statistical analysis**

The total DNA yield from each method was calculated as follows.

\[
\text{Total DNA yield (µg)} = \frac{\text{DNA concentration (ng/µl) \times total volume (µl)}}{1000}
\]

As the normality assumption was not satisfied, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the total DNA yield of the two methods. The statistical analysis was performed in IBM SPSS for Windows, version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**Ethical approval**

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University, Egypt and the international guidelines for animal experimentation.

**RESULTS**

1. Morphological description of the collected parasites family Cyathocotylidae (Poche, 1926)

Based on the morphological identification, the helminths belong to the family Cyathocotylidae, species (*Mesostephanus burmanicus*, *Prohemistomum vivax*, and *Mesostephanus appendiculatus*) (Fig. 1)

**Morphological description of *Mesostephanus burmanicus***.

The worm is elongated with a caudal appendage. The tegument is covered with spines with many spines present anteriorly. The worm has a subterminal oral sucker and an unconspicuous ventral sucker. The prepharynx is absent, the pharynx is well-developed, and the worms have simple intestinal caeca. The testes are ovoid, smooth, oblique in position, and located in the posterior half of the body. Both the cirrus pouch and uterus are well-developed. Folliculated vitellaria are fairly large, forming two lateral curves around the gonads (Fig. 1A).
Morphological description of *Prohemistomum vivax*.
The worm is pyriform in shape, wide in the middle, and attenuated anteriorly and posteriorly. A deep ventral pouch exists in the middle of the fresh specimens. The oral sucker is subterminal leading to a well-developed pharynx. The short esophagus is bifurcated away from acetabulum into intestinal caeca. Acetabulum is ill-developed and spherical in shape. The first testis is ovoid and smooth, while the second one is quadrilateral and tandem in position. The ovary is nearly pyramidal in shape, situated between the two testes. The cirrus pouch is well-developed and located lateral in the left side of the caudal region. The vitelline follicles are fairly large and confined in a horseshoe manner postacetabular around the gonads. The uterus is slightly large, extending from the middle of the fluke till the common genital pore, and contains large operculated oval egg that is yellow in color and thin shelled (Fig. 1B).

Morphological description of *Mesostephanus appendiculatus*.
*M. appendiculatus* has elongated body that is broader posteriorly with a caudal appendage. It is covered with spines that decrease posteriorly. The oral sucker is subterminal. The prepharynx is absent while the pharynx is well-developed and muscular. The esophagus is short and the intestine bifurcates away from the ventral sucker. The intestinal caeca are simple and hidden by the vitelline follicles. The tribocytic organ is ill-developed. The testes are irregular, triangular in shape, tandem in position. The uterine eggs are thin-shelled, yellow in color, and few in number (Fig. 1C).

2. Molecular identification

DNA yield and PCR amplification success
A total of 68 adult and encysted metacercaria samples were analyzed by the two methods of DNA extraction (Table 1), 38 samples with the DNA extraction kit and 30 with the modified Hotshot method. The average DNA yield from the Hotshot method (2.65 ± 0.83 µg) was 28% higher than the DNA extraction kit (2.07 ± 0.16 µg), but the difference between the two methods was not significant (Mann-Whitney U test, P>0.05). Thirteen samples (43%) extracted by the Hotshot method were successfully amplified in PCR, while 14 samples (36.8) extracted by the kit were amplified.

Sequence analysis
The DNA sequences were queried in GenBank and identified based on the ITS-2 gene as family Cyathocotylidae with 99% identity. This family contained several species with identical sequences; therefore, it was difficult to specify the species. The sequence was submitted to the GeneBank (Accession No. MT710952).

3. Phylogenetic analysis
The phylogenetic analysis revealed the closest relationship between the sequences obtained in the current study and both *Holostephanus dubinini* and Cyathocotyle sp. as they clustered together. The second closer cluster contained 10 helminth species including *Uvulifer spinatus*, *Mesostephanus* sp., *Bolbophorus damnificus*, *Diplostomum huronense*, *Diplostomum baeri*, *Diplostomum pseudopathaceum*, *Tylodelphys clavate*, *Austrodiplostomum compactum*, and *Austrodiplostomum ostrowskiae*. The rest of the helminths (9 species) clustered together far from our sequences (Fig. 2).
Table 1. Results of PCR amplification of partial internal transcribed spacer 2 gene from adult trematodes and encysted metacercariae using DNA extracted by the commercial kit and HotShot method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Total no. of samples</th>
<th>DNA yield (µg)</th>
<th>Amplified samples</th>
<th>Adult trematodes</th>
<th>Encysted metacercaria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Total no.</td>
<td>Amplified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA extraction kit</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HotShot method</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

In the current study, the Hotshot method was modified to extract DNA from minute helminths and their encysted metacercariae. The Hotshot method produced more DNA yield, and the extracted DNA was PCR amplified successfully compared to the commercial kit, but the difference was not statistically significant. The amplified PCR product was successfully sequenced, and the sequence analysis revealed the close relationship between the helminths studied and members of the family Cyathocotylidae.

Morphological identification of parasites requires experience and effort. Physical damage or loss of any part of the samples that is essential for identification may lead to incorrect identification. Also, identification of the parasite eggs and the larval stages is difficult and needs special identification keys and specific treatments (Abouelhassan et al., 2019). Chuboon and Chalobol (2009) affirmed the difficulty to differentiate parasite species by examining the eggs and larval stages using standard methods. Therefore, developing specific and accurate DNA-based methods of parasite identification is required to support morphological identification. Currently, molecular identification using PCR have been developed for different species of parasites (Chuboon & Chalobol,
Besides, the use of molecular techniques for identification has been more common to overcome the limitations of morphological identification (Abouelhassan et al., 2019). In the present study, the samples were morphologically identified as *Mesostephanus burmanicus*, *Prohemistomum vivax* and *Mesostephanus appendiculatus*, all belonged to the family Cyathocotylidae. On the other hand, molecular identification and the sequencing analysis assigned the worms to the family Cyathocotylidae. Despite the accuracy of molecular identification, there is a need for molecular analysis using more than one genetic marker, or accompanying molecular analysis with the morphological characteristics for species identification. The sequencing analysis of the examined samples revealed 99% identity with some other species from the same family. Therefore, the choice of reliable genetic markers for species identification would assure the sequence assignment and the phylogenetic results (Abouelhassan et al., 2019). In addition, the primers should be designed to be more specific with no cross-reaction with other trematodes (Chontananarth et al., 2018).

Difficulties of getting sufficient and pure DNA template from minute helminths limits the application of molecular methods for species identification. Several commercial kits have been tested for DNA extraction from minute helminths and their eggs (Doyle et al., 2019). However, these kits are relatively expensive, and some of the DNA may be lost during the extraction process. Here, the Hotshot method was modified for the DNA extraction from minute helminths and encysted metacercariae, and compared the results with the commercial DNA extraction kit. As reported by Meeker et al. (2018), this method was used for DNA extraction from several zebrafish tissues especially samples that are difficult to extract such as the sperm. DNA extracted by this method is stable at 4°C for at least 3 months, and can be stored at -20°C for a longer time.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, molecular identification with better genetic marker selection will improve the identification of the parasite species and their larval stages. The Hotshot method is rapid, easy, cheap, simple with a few steps, and does not require special reagents or enzymes. In addition, no DNA is lost during the extraction process because nothing is removed from the extraction tubes. This method can be tested for DNA extraction from other minute parasites, especially for applications that require DNA of good quality and yield such as whole-genome sequencing.
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